Exploring the Benefits of CDMA in Optical Networks

Teletraffic Capacity and Other Ideas

Sharon Goldberg

Prof. Paul Prucnal General Exam Research Seminar

Department of Electrical Engineering, Princeton University

March 16, 2006

Princeton University

Lightwave Communications Research Laboratory

Talk Overview

Teletraffic Capacity of Optical CDMA:

- Background + Preview
- Teletraffic Models:
 - Optical CDMA model
 - Wavelength Routed Network Model
- Results

Other OCDMA Projects:

- Call Admission Control
- Source-Matched Channel Coding
- OCDMA selective speedup for Queue Management
- Security of Coherent Spectral-Phase OCDMA

Code Division Multiple Access (CDMA)

Wireless CDMA



Cellular Systems

Optical CDMA

- Apply concept of wireless CDMA to optical domain
- Incoherent Coding ٠
 - **Time-Amplitude**
 - Spectral-Amplitude
 - Wavelength-Time
- **Coherent Coding** ٠
 - **Temporal-Phase**
 - Spectral-Phase

- Used in: (for example) •
 - Wireless Cellular Systems
 - Unlicensed Spectrum Systems (2.4 GHz, 5.8 GHz)
- Allows:
 - Asynchronous multiple access
 - Frequency reuse
- Provides:
 - Immunity to interference
 - Soft bounds on capacity (Soft Blocking)



Optical CDMA Broadcast and Select Network





BER vs Number of Simultaneous Users 4-wavelength 01-timeslot wavelength-time 10⁻⁶ prime code 10⁻⁸ ' 3 users for 10-9 BER 10^{-12|} 20 40 60 0 80 100 Number of Simultaneous Users M

As the number of users increases, the interference increases.

And this happens for most flavours of OCDMA!

Preview: Understanding Optical CDMA Capacity

Traditional view of OCDMA capacity Γ :

Number of users accommodated by the system for a given bit error rate threshold.

 \Rightarrow There is no hard limit on capacity!





Motivation:

Model a network with stochastic utilization!

- Calls connected circuit-by-circuit
- Each circuit is active with probability p
- More than Γ subscribers

Eg. Set a max BER threshold of 10^{-9} \Rightarrow System admits $\Gamma = 13$ simultaneous transmissions

- \Rightarrow When **M > 13**, BER degrades causing an *outage*.
- \Rightarrow Ensure that outages occur with

probability P_{outage}< 10⁻³

Preview: Understanding Optical CDMA Capacity

Traditional view of OCDMA capacity Γ :

Number of users accommodated by the system for a given bit error rate threshold.

 \Rightarrow There is no hard limit on capacity!





Motivation:

Model a network with stochastic utilization!

- Calls connected circuit-by-circuit
- Each circuit is active with probability *p*
- More than Γ subscribers

Eg. Set a max BER threshold of **10**-9 \Rightarrow System admits Γ = 13 simultaneous transmissions

- \Rightarrow When M > 13, BER degrades causing an outage.
- \Rightarrow Ensure that outages occur with

Lessons from Wireless CDMA

Analysis of the capacity of the mobile-to-base station link in a single cell of a wireless time-spreading CDMA cellular network. [(Viterbi)² 1993], [Evans & Everitt 1999]

- Model cell as $M/G/\infty$ queue:
 - Spreading code has large cardinality($\Rightarrow \infty$ servers)
 - No blocking! (New calls always connected)
 - Number of connected calls, N, is a Poisson random variable
- Call utilization is intermittent (talking OR listening)
 - call activity, \mathbf{v} , is a Bernoulli random variable
- Each call is received with signal power *E*
 - *c* is a random variable that models fading, multipath, mobility, etc.
- An outage occurs when the interference increases beyond a threshold Γ
 - such that the performance of all the users in a cell is degraded

$$P_{outage} = P\left[\sum_{i=0}^{N} V_i \cdot \varepsilon_i > \Gamma\right]$$
 Apply this idea to OCDMA!

M/G/ ∞ Queue arriving calls \rightarrow \vdots ∞ servers (codewords)

Teletraffic Modelling Assumptions

Broadcast-and-Select Network



We compare OCDMA and WRN (wavelength routed network) broadcastand-select networks with *K* subscribers.

- Calls connected on a circuit-by-circuit basis:
 - Subscribers generate call requests whose interarrival times have arbitrary distribution with mean 1/v [hours]
 - The holding time of each call has an arbitrary distribution with mean $1/\mu$ [hours]
 - Thus, the offered load per idle source is $r = v/\mu$ [Erlang]
- Calls carry bursty data: (talking or listening/waiting)
 - call activity is a Bernoulli random variable with mean p
- Number of connected calls is **N(t)**
- Number of actively transmitting calls is *M(t)*
- Study an interval where N(t), M(t) stationary write as a random variables N, M



Wavelength Routed Network Model

- We model a WRN with K subscribers and Γ wavelengths
 - Tunable transmitters/receiver are used so that each subscriber can transmit on any wavelength
 - We are interested in physical layer capacity only
 - Thus, assume each wavelength can carry only one circuit at a time
- Incoming traffic is *blocked* when there are no free wavelengths (*i.e.* $N = \Gamma$)
- Model N using the generalized Engset G(K)/G/ Γ(0) loss model

G(K)/G/Г(0) Model



Distribution of connected calls:

$$P[N=n] = \binom{K}{n} r^n \left[\sum_{i=0}^{\Gamma} \binom{K}{i} r^i \right]^{-1}$$

Carried Load:

$$E[N] = Kr \sum_{n=0}^{\Gamma-1} {\binom{K-1}{n}} r^n \left[\sum_{i=0}^{\Gamma} {\binom{K}{i}} r^{i} \right]$$

Call Congestion: P[Arriving Call sees N= Γ] Blocking Probability: $P_{block} = {\binom{K-1}{\Gamma}} r^{\Gamma} \left[\sum_{i=0}^{\Gamma} {\binom{K-1}{i}} r^{i} \right]^{-1}$

Optical CDMA Model (1)



We model an OCDMA network with \mathbf{K} subscribers and Γ maximum simultaneous users

- Each circuit is received with equal power
- The cardinality of the spreading code is large (> K)
- Every incoming call is carried by the network (no blocking !)

Model **N** using the generalized finite-K source, infinite server **G(K)/G/**∞ model



Distribution of connected calls:

$$P[N=n] = \binom{K}{n} r^n (1+r)^{-K}$$

Carried Load:

$$E[N] = K \frac{r}{1+r}$$

Blocking Probability:

$$P_{block} = 0$$

Optical CDMA Model (2)



We model an OCDMA network with K subscribers and Γ maximum simultaneous users

An *outage* occurs when the number of active calls exceeds the maximum Γ , *i.e.*

$M > \Gamma$

so that the performance of all circuits degrades beyond the max BER threshold.

P[BER > 10⁻⁹]

Outage probability: $P[M > \Gamma]$

$$P_{outage} = \sum_{m=\Gamma+1}^{K} {\binom{K}{m}} (pr)^{m} (1+(1-p)r)^{K-m}$$

Blocking Probability:

$$P_{block} = 0$$

Distribution of active calls:

$$P[M = m] = \sum_{n=m}^{K} P[M = m | N = n] \cdot P[N = n]$$

= $\sum_{n=m}^{K} {\binom{n}{m}} p^{m} (1-p)^{n-m} \cdot {\binom{K}{n}} r^{n} (1+r)^{-K}$
= ${\binom{K}{m}} (pr)^{m} (1+(1-p)r)^{K-m}$

And now to define the teletraffic capacity...

The teletraffic capacity is the maximum value of E[N] satisfying:

- 1. Outage Constraint:
- 2. Blocking Constraint:

We compare teletraffic capacities of:

- OCDMA with Γ maximum simultaneous users and K subscribers
- WRN with Γ wavelengths and K subscribers.



OCDMA vs Wavelength Routed Network



OCDMA vs Wavelength Routed Network

K=64 subscribers Γ =32 OCDMA maximum simultaneous users Γ =32 WRN wavelengths Constraints: P_{outage} ^{max}=10⁻⁵ and P_{block} ^{max}=10⁻²

More important to ensure service availability of existing circuits than to accommodate new circuits



On the Teletraffic Capacity of OCDMA*: Conclusions

- We developed a framework for understanding capacity under stochastic utilization (teletraffic capacity)
- We found that
 - OCDMA capacity exceeds that of WRN
 - ... except when activity $p \rightarrow 1$ and $P_{block}^{max} << P_{outage}^{max}$
 - To remedy this, we will show how OCDMA with call admission control can match or exceed WRN capacity (stay tuned!)
- Our framework can be extended to model more complex systems
 - users have different values of *p*, μ, ν
 - multicode systems

* S. Goldberg, P.R. Prucnal, "On the teletraffic capacity of OCDMA", accepted for publication in IEEE Transactions on Communications

Talk Overview

Teletraffic Capacity of Optical CDMA:

- Background + Preview
- Teletraffic Models:
 - Optical CDMA model
 - Wavelength Routed Network Model
- Results

Other OCDMA Projects:

- Call Admission Control
- Source-Matched Channel Coding
- OCDMA selective speedup for Queue Management
- Security of Coherent Spectral-Phase OCDMA

Call Admission Control (CAC) for OCDMA



With CAC the network blocks some new circuit requests to reduce interference and thus reduce occurrence of outages.

We now have both outage and blocking!

- For perfect service availability (**P**_{outage}^{max}=0)
 - Block new calls when N=F
 - No statistical multiplexing \Rightarrow OCDMA network operates like a WRN

But we can do better if we allow $P_{outage} > 0$!

- We propose two CAC protocols:
 - Complete Sharing CAC: Block new calls when N=B
 - Check Interference on Call Arrival CAC: Block new calls when $M \ge B$
- Design the CAC protocols (i.e. find optimal blocking threshold B*) that maximizes the teletraffic capacity E[N] while satisfying:
 - 1. Outage Constraint:
 - 2. Blocking Constraint:

P_{outage} < P_{outage} max P_{block} < P_{block} max

Call Admission Control Results: K=64, Γ=32, p=75%



Source-Matched OCDMA Spreading Codes

When an analog signal is sourceencoded (i.e. quantized and compressed) redundancies in encoding mean that the datastream contains bits of varying importance.

Use a priority scheme:

- to maximize system capacity
- to send important bits with reliably.
- \Rightarrow Data arranged in blocks:
- u_M more important bits (M-bits)
- u_L less important bits (L-bits).



e.g. Message sent via punctured CH-prime code, $u_M = 1$, $u_L = 4$, w = 3, $\Delta w = 2$, N=5.



1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	C
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
								0	0		
										0	1

* S. Goldberg, V. Baby, T. Wang, P.R. Prucnal, "Source matched spreading codes for optical CDMA", accepted for publication in IEEE Transactions on Communications

OCDMA Selective Speedup for Queue Management

Active Queue Management



Instead of dropping packets during congestion, send some with selective speedup!

Selective speedup decreases packet dropping probability, queue length and delay.

Regular packets sent one at a time with weight w codewords and high reliability (low BER)



Speedup packets sent **three** at a time with weight w/3 codewords and lower reliability (higher BER)



Security of Coherent Spectral-Phase OCDMA



Goal: To study the security of the system against an eavesdropper in a chosen plaintext attack.

Determine system security as a function of

- N Number of users
- **c**^w number of scrambler states
- Randomness of intercode phasing



Acknowledgements

Prof. Paul Prucnal

The Lightwave Lab: Camille Bres, Darren Rand, Bin Li, Varghese Baby, Yue-Kai Huang, Dr. Ivan Glesk

> Prof. H. Kobayashi Prof. R. Calderbank Prof. J. Rexford Prof. L.-S. Peh Prof. B. Barak Dr. R. Menendez

Exploring the Benefits of CDMA in Optical Networks

Teletraffic Capacity and Other Ideas

BACK UP SLIDES



Lightwave Communications Research Laboratory

Selected References

- A. M. Viterbi and A. J. Viterbi, "Erlang capacity of a power controlled CDMA system," *IEEE J. Select. Areas Commun.*, vol. 11, no. 6, pp. 892{900, Aug. 1993.
- J. S. Evans and D. Everitt, "On the teletraffic capacity of CDMA cellular networks," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 48, no. 1, pp. 153-165, Jan. 1999.
- P. R. Prucnal, M. Santoro, and T. R. Fan, "Spread spectrum fiber-optic local area network using optical processing," *J. Lightwave Technol.*, vol. 4, no. 5, pp. 547-554, May 1986.
- C.-J. Ho, J. A. Copeland, C.-T. Lea, and G. L. Stuber, "On call admission control is DS/CDMA cellular networks," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 50, no. 6, pp.1328-1343, Nov. 2001.
- H. Kobayashi and B. L. Mark, *Modeling and Analysis: Foundations of System Performance Evaluation*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall, Manuscript.
- H. Kobayashi and B. L. Mark, "Product-form loss networks," in *Frontiers in Queueing*, J. H. Dshalalow, Ed. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press, Inc., 1997, ch. 6, pp. 147{165.

Obtaining Γ : **Performance Analysis**



BER vs M Plots – Different Flavours



Call Admission Control

More on Call Admission Control



Princeton University

Lightwave Communications Research Laboratory

CS CAC & CIUCA CAC Models



OCDMA Complete Sharing CAC Model

Admit calls only when the total number of *connected* circuits is less than a threshold **B**.

Block when N = B. Outage when $M > \Gamma$.

- Model **N** using the **G(K)/G/ B(0)** loss model with $\Gamma \leq \mathbf{B} \leq \mathbf{K}$
 - Incoming traffic is *blocked* when N = B

$$P_{block} = {\binom{K-1}{B}} r^{B} \left[\sum_{i=0}^{B} {\binom{K-1}{i}} r^{i} \right]^{-1}$$

- Carried circuit load

$$E[N] = Kr \sum_{n=0}^{B-1} \binom{K-1}{n} r^n \left[\sum_{i=0}^{B} \binom{K}{i} r^i \right]^{-1}$$



- As before, we find the distribution of *M* (active circuits) from

$$P[M = m] = \sum_{n=m}^{B} P[M = m | N = n] \cdot P[N = n]$$

- So that the outage probability is

$$P_{outage} = P[M > \Gamma] = \sum_{m=\Gamma+1}^{B} \sum_{n=M}^{B} {n \choose m} {K \choose n} (pr)^{m} ((1-p)r)^{n-m} \left[\sum_{i=0}^{B} {K \choose j} r^{i} \right]^{-1}$$

Check Interference on Call Arrival CAC Model (1)

Admit calls only when the total number of *active* circuits is less than a threshold *B*.

Block when $M \ge B$. Outage when $M > \Gamma$.

- Model **N** using a Markov chain for $0 \le N \le K$
 - Introduce state dependant blocking probability: **1** $\beta_n = P[$ New call blocked |N=n]

$$\beta_n = P[M < B \mid N = n] = \begin{cases} 1 & 0 \le n < B \\ \sum_{m=0}^{B-1} {n \choose m} p^m (1-p)^{n-m} & B \le n < K \end{cases}$$

– From the Markov chain, the distribution of connected calls N is

$$P[N = n] = \binom{K-1}{n} r^n \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \beta_j \left[\sum_{i=0}^{K} \binom{K}{i} r^i \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} \beta_j \right]^{-1}$$

- As usual, find the distribution of active calls *M* from

$$P[M = m] = \sum_{n=m}^{K} P[M = m \mid N = n] \cdot P[N = n]$$

$$= \sum_{n=m}^{K} \binom{n}{m} p^m (1-p)^{n-m} \cdot P[N = n]$$

$$(n+1)\mu$$

$$(n+2)\mu$$

$$(K-1-n)\nu \cdot \beta_{n+1}$$

Check Interference on Call Arrival CAC Model (2)

Admit calls only when the total number of *active* circuits is less than a threshold *B*.

Block when $M \ge B$. Outage when $M > \Gamma$.

– Then the outage probability can be found from the distribution of M

$$P_{outage} = P[M > \Gamma] = \sum_{m=\Gamma+1}^{K} {\binom{K}{m}} (pr)^m \sum_{\ell=0}^{K-m} {\binom{K-m}{\ell}} ((1-p)r)^\ell \prod_{j=0}^{\ell+m-1} \beta_j \left[\sum_{i=0}^{K} {\binom{K}{i}} r^i \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} \beta_j \right]^{-1}$$

- To find the blocking probability we start with

$$P[M \ge B] = \sum_{n=0}^{K} P[M \ge B \mid N = n] \cdot P[N = n]$$
$$= \sum_{n=m}^{K} (1 - \beta_n) \cdot P[N = n]$$
$$= 1 - \sum_{n=0}^{K} {K \choose n} r^n \prod_{j=0}^{n} \beta_j \left[\sum_{i=0}^{K} {K \choose i} r^i \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} \beta_j \right]^{-1}$$

- and using the arrival theorem, we can find

$$P_{block} = 1 - \sum_{n=0}^{K-1} {\binom{K-1}{n}} r^n \prod_{j=0}^n \beta_j \left[\sum_{i=0}^{K-1} {\binom{K-1}{i}} r^i \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} \beta_j \right]^{-1}$$

Designing the CAC Protocols

Design the CAC protocols (*i.e.* find B^{*}) such that the teletraffic capacity E[N] is maximized while satisfying both constraints:

1.	Outage Constraint:	P_{outage} < P_{outage} max
2.	Blocking Constraint:	$P_{block} < P_{block}^{max}$

- To find B^* we used an exhaustive search:
 - 1. Check if CAC is required: If $P_{outage} < P_{outage}^{max}$ for all *r* then no CAC required.
 - 2. If CAC is required:
 - For B = 1...K compute the maximum offered load per subscriber r_B^{max} satisfying the constraints. Use r_B^{max} to compute the teletraffic capacity E[N] for this B
 - Choose the blocking threshold B^* that maximizes the teletraffic capacity

Comparison of CAC Protocols

Activity	OCDMA	CS CAC			CIUCA CAC				
p	Capacity	B [*] Optimal Capacity		Capacity B=34	B *	Optimal Capacity	Capacity B=27		
90%	18.3	32	23.6	18.9	28	21.7	20.6		
80%	20.5	33	24.6	22.4	27	23.1	23.1		
75%	22.0	34	25.9	25.9	27	24.3	24.3		
70%	23.5	35	26.6	25.9	27	25.1	25.1		
60%	27.4	38	29.6	25.9	26	28.7	28.0		
50%	33.1	43	34.6	25.9	26	33.6	33.2		
40%	41.2	50	42.3	25.9	26	41.5	41.4		
		Pr	Protocol optimized for p = 40%			Protocol optimized for p = 75%			

K=64, Γ =32, P_{outage}^{max}=10⁻⁵, P_{block}^{max}=10⁻²

- CIUCA-CAC is more robust than CS-CAC to changes in activity p
- CS-CAC provides higher capacity increases than CIUCA-CAC.

Additional Comparison of CAC Protocols



CS-CAC reduces outage probability more effectively than CIUCA-CAC

Insensitivity of Engset G(K)/G/m(0) model

For the M/G/∞ and M/G/m(0) we model the general service time distribution
 X using a Cox representation (a series of memoryless servers).

- Unlike in the M/M/∞ and M/G/m(0) the variable N(t) for each model is no longer Markov. Instead we define a new Markov state variable S(t)={ N(t),f(t) } = { N₁(t), N₂(t), ..., Nd(t), f(t) }
- Now the system dynamics have the following differential equation for $P(\chi,\underline{s},t)=P[\chi \text{ departures in } (0,t) \text{ and } \underline{N}(t) = \underline{s}]$ $P(\chi,\underline{s},t) = \sum_{j=1}^{d} P(\chi-1,\underline{s}+1_{j},t)(n_{j}+1)\mu_{j}b_{j} \text{ Departures from server from s+1}_{j}$ $+ \sum_{j=2}^{d} P(\chi,\underline{s}+1_{j-1}-1_{j},t)(n_{j-1}+1)\mu_{j-1}a_{j-1} \text{ Customers moving through server from s+1}_{j-1}-1_{j-1}$ $+ \lambda a_{0}P(\chi,\underline{s}-1_{1},t) \text{ Arrival to server from s-1}_{1} \text{ Which has solution:}$ $-P(\chi,\underline{s},t)(\lambda + \sum_{j=1}^{d} n_{j}\mu_{j}) \text{ Transitions out of s} P(\chi,\underline{s},t) = \frac{(\lambda t)^{\chi}}{\chi!} e^{-\lambda t} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{d} \frac{(\frac{\lambda}{\mu_{j}})^{n_{j}}}{n_{i}!}$