Lecture 11: More Monads!
- Review: Ok Monad, an improved Maybe Monad
- The List Monad (a.k.a. "Map and Flatten")
- List Comprehensions

Next time (after break): The State monad
The Ok Monad

Let's review the last lecture by creating an improved version of the Maybe Monad, called the Ok Monad:

```haskell
data Checked a = Ok a
               | Warning a String
               | Error String deriving (Show, Eq)
```

A review of the code (posted on the web as MonadLectureCode3.hs) is better than Powerpoint for this one....
List Monad

Another very useful monad is the List Monad, which is defined in the Prelude. The key to any monad is the definition of bind, so let's look at it right away and see what it does:

instance Monad [] where
    -- return :: a -> [a]
    return x = [x]

    -- (>>=) :: [a] -> (a -> [b]) -> [b]
    xs >>= f = concat (map f xs)

g :: a -> [a]
g x = [x, x]

[1,2,3] >>= g
  => concat ( map g [1,2,3] )
  => concat [(g 1),(g 2),(g 3)]
  => concat [[1,1],[2,2],[3,3]]
  => [1,1,2,2,3,3]
**List Monad**

instance Monad [] where

    -- return :: a -> [a]
    return x = [x]

    -- (>>=) :: [a] -> (a -> [b]) -> [b]
    xs >>= f = concat (map f xs)

h :: a -> [a]

h x = [x*10,x+2,x-1]

[1,2] >>= h

=> concat ( map h [1,2] )

=> concat [(h 1),(h 2)]

=> concat [[10,2,0],[20,4,1]]

=> [10,2,0,20,4,1]
Since what `concat` does is usually called "flattening a list," my motto for the List Monad is MAF = Map And Flatten!

Quick Quiz: What is the result of the following?

```haskell
k :: a -> [a]
k x = [x,x,x]

[1,2] >>= k
```

map: ???

and flatten: ???

```haskell
instance Monad [] where
    -- return :: a -> [a]
    return x = [x]

    -- (>>=) :: [a] -> (a -> [b]) -> [b]
    xs >>= f = concat (map f xs)
```
List Monad

Since what `concat` does is usually called "flattening a list," my motto for the List Monad is MAF = Map And Flatten!

**Quick Quiz:** What is the result of the following?

```haskell
k :: a -> [a]
k x = [x, x, x]

[1,2] >>= k
```

map: `[[1,1,1],[2,2,2]]`

and flatten: `[1,1,1,2,2,2]`
**List Monad**

Since what `concat` does is usually called "flattening a list," my motto for the List Monad is MAF = Map And Flatten!

**Quick Quiz:** What is the result of the following?

```haskell
m :: a -> [a]
m x = [x]

[1,2] >>= m
```

```haskell
instance Monad [] where
  -- return :: a -> [a]
  return x = [x]

  -- (>>=) :: [a] -> (a -> [b]) -> [b]
  xs >>= f = concat (map f xs)
```
List Monad

Since what `concat` does is usually called "flattening a list," my motto for the List Monad is MAF = Map And Flatten!

Quick Quiz: What is the result of the following?

\[
m :: a \rightarrow [a] \quad \text{-- } m \text{ is the same as } \text{return}
m x = [x]
\]

\[
[1,2] >>= m
\]

map:  \([[[1],[2]]]\)

and flatten:  \([1,2]\)

\[
\text{-- remember that } \text{return} \\
\text{-- is like the identity} \\
\text{-- for monads}
\]
List Monad

Since what `concat` does is usually called "flattening a list," my motto for the List Monad is MAF = Map And Flatten!

Quick Quiz: What is the result of the following?

```haskell
z :: a -> [a]
z x = []

[1,2] >>= z
```
List Monad

Since what `concat` does is usually called "flattening a list," my motto for the List Monad is MAF = Map And Flatten!

Quick Quiz: What is the result of the following?

```
z :: a -> [a]
z x = []
```

```
[1,2] >>= z
```

map: `[[],[[]]]`

and flatten: `[]`

```
instance Monad [] where
  -- return :: a -> [a]
  return x = [x]

  -- (>>=) :: [a] -> (a -> [b]) -> [b]
  xs >>= f = concat (map f xs)
```
Since what `concat` does is usually called "flattening a list," my motto for the List Monad is MAF = Map And Flatten!

Quick Quiz: What is the result of the following?

```haskell
instance Monad [] where
  return x = [x]

  (>>=) :: [a] -> (a -> [b]) -> [b]
  xs >>= f = concat (map f xs)
```

```haskell
n :: Integer -> [Integer]
n x = if even x then [x,x] else [x]

[1,2,3,4] >>= n
```
List Monad

Since what \texttt{concat} does is usually called "flattening a list," my motto for the List Monad is MAF = Map And Flatten!

Quick Quiz: What is the result of the following?

\begin{verbatim}
n :: Integer -> [Integer]
n x = if even x then [x,x] else [x]

[1,2,3,4] >>= n
\end{verbatim}

map: \([1],[2,2],[3],[4,4]\)

and flatten: \([1,2,2,3,4,4]\)
List Monad

Since what `concat` does is usually called "flattening a list," my motto for the List Monad is MAF = Map And Flatten!

Quick Quiz: What is the result of the following?

\[
\begin{align*}
q & \:: \text{Integer} \rightarrow \text{[Integer]} \\
q \, x & = \text{if even } x \text{ then } [x] \text{ else } [] \\
[1,2,3,4] \gg= q
\end{align*}
\]
List Monad

Since what `concat` does is usually called "flattening a list," my motto for the List Monad is MAF = Map And Flatten!

Quick Quiz: What is the result of the following?

```haskell
r :: Integer -> [Integer]
r x = if even x then [x] else []

[1,2,3,4] >>= r
```

map: `[[], [2], [], [4]]`

and flatten: `[2, 4]`

Ha! So you can use the List Monad to filter a list!
List Monad

Since what `concat` does is usually called "flattening a list," my motto for the List Monad is MAF = Map And Flatten!

The key to the List Monad, however, is to understand what it does when you repeatedly apply MAF:

\[
g :: a \rightarrow [a] \\
g x = [x, x]
\]

\[
h :: a \rightarrow [a] \\
h x = [x*10, x+2, x-1]
\]

\[ [1, 2] >>= h >>= g \]

\[
[10, 10, 3, 3, 0, 0, 20, 20, 4, 4, 1, 1]
\]
List Monad

Repeated applications of MAF

\[ g :: a \rightarrow [a] \]
\[ g \ x = [x, x] \]

\[ h :: a \rightarrow [a] \]
\[ h \ x = [x*10, x+2, x-1] \]

\[ r :: \text{Integer} \rightarrow [\text{Integer}] \]
\[ r \ x = \text{if even } x \text{ then } [x] \text{ else } [] \]

\[ [1,2] >>= h >>= g >>= r \]

map h and flatten

map g and flatten

map r and flatten
List Monad

Repeated applications of MAF

\[ g :: a \rightarrow [a] \]
\[ g \ x = [x,x] \]

\[ h :: a \rightarrow [a] \]
\[ h \ x = [x*10,x+2,x-1] \]

\[ r :: \text{Integer} \rightarrow [\text{Integer}] \]
\[ r \ x = \text{if even } x \text{ then } [x] \text{ else } [] \]

\[ [1,2] >>= r >>= h >>= g \]

map \( r \) and flatten

\[ [2] \]

map \( h \) and flatten

\[ [20,4,1] \]

map \( g \) and flatten

\[ [20,20,4,4,1,1] \]
List Monad: Do Notation

But of course we want to use \texttt{do} notation!

Let's translate this last example from bind to \texttt{do}, by way of lambda expressions:

\[
[1,2] >>= r >>= h >>= g
\]

\[
[1,2] >>= (\lambda x \rightarrow r \ x >>= (\lambda y \rightarrow h \ y >>= (\lambda z \rightarrow g \ z)))
\]

\[
[1,2] >>= \lambda x \rightarrow r \ x >>= \lambda y \rightarrow h \ y >>= \lambda z \rightarrow g \ z
\]

\[
do x \leftarrow [1,2] \\
\quad y \leftarrow r \ x \\
\quad z \leftarrow h \ y \\
\quad g \ z
\]

\[
g :: a \rightarrow [a] \\
g x = [x,x]
\]

\[
h :: a \rightarrow [a] \\
h x = [x*10,x+2,x-1]
\]

\[
r :: \text{Integer} \rightarrow [\text{Integer}] \\
r x = \text{if even } x \text{ then } [x] \text{ else } []
\]
List Monad: Do Notation

But of course we want to use do notation!

Let's translate this last example from bind to do, by way of lambda expressions:

\[
[1,2] \gg= r \gg= h \gg= g
\]

\[
[1,2] \gg= (\lambda x \rightarrow r \ x \gg= (\lambda y \rightarrow h \ y \gg= (\lambda z \rightarrow g \ z)))
\]

do x <- [1,2]
    y <- r x
    z <- h y
    g z

Q: What do the variables x, y, z represent in the computation? What values do they take on?
Let's translate this last example from `bind` to `do`, by way of lambda expressions:

\[ [1,2] >>= r >>= h >>= g \]

\[ [1,2] >>= (\lambda x . r x >>= (\lambda y . h y >>= (\lambda z . g z))) \]

do x <- [1,2]
    y <- r x
    z <- h y
    g z

Q: What do the variables x, y, z represent in the computation? What values do they take on?

A: They "iterate" through the list as the function is mapped onto the list:

- x takes on the values 1, 2
- y takes on the value 2
- z takes on the values 20, 4, 1
List Monad: Do Notation

Let's translate this last example from `bind` to `do`, by way of lambda expressions:

\[ [1,2] \ggg r \ggg h \ggg g \]
\[ [1,2] \ggg (\lambda x \to r\ x \ggg (\lambda y \to h\ y \ggg (\lambda z \to g\ z))) \]

In other words, it is essentially the same as nested for loops in Python:

```python
do x <- [1,2]
    y <- r x
    z <- h y
    g z

result = []
for x in [1,2]:
    for y in r(x):
        for z in h(y):
            result += g(z)
```

List Monad: Do Notation

result = []
do x <- [1,2]:
  y <- r x
  z <- h y
  g z
  result += g(z)

Where the test implemented by function r would be better expressed as:

result = []
for x in [1,2]:
  if (x % 2 == 0):
    for z in h(x):
      result += g(z)
List Monad: Do Notation

```
do x <- [1,2]
    y <- r x
    z <- h y
    g z
```

But rather than write this out with nested for loops in Python:

```
result = []
for x in [1,2]:
    if (x % 2 == 0):
        for z in h(x):
            result += g(z)
```

you could do it with a list comprehension:

```
[ w for w in g(z) for z in h(x) for x in [1,2] where (x % 2 == 0) ]
```

Wouldn't it be nice if we could do the same thing in Haskell? ....
Haskell provides list comprehensions as "syntactic sugar" for do expressions with the List Monad:

Example:

```haskell
do x <- [1,2]
x <- r x
y <- h x
z <- g y
return z
```

can be written as

```
[ z | x <- [1,2], even x, y <- h x, z <- g y ]
```

which you can read as: "For every x in [1,2], where x is even, for every y in (h x), and for every z in (g y), collect together all the z's into a list," or write in standard mathematical "set builder" notation as:

```
{ z | x ∈ [1,2] with x even ∧ y ∈ h(x) ∧ z ∈ g(y) }
```
List Monad

Summary: All three of these return the same list:

```
lst1 = [(x,y) | x <- [1,2,3], y <- [1,2,3], x /= y]
lst2 = do x <- [1,2,3]
        y <- [1,2,3]
        if x == y then [] else [(x,y)]
lst3 = [1,2,3] >>= (\x -> [1,2,3] >>= (\y -> if x == y then [] else return (x,y)))
```

Main> lst1
[(1,2),(1,3),(2,1),(2,3),(3,1),(3,2)]

Main> lst2
[(1,2),(1,3),(2,1),(2,3),(3,1),(3,2)]

Main> lst3
[(1,2),(1,3),(2,1),(2,3),(3,1),(3,2)]

But I know which one I prefer! Use List Comprehensions whenever possible!
List Monad

List comprehensions lead to all sorts of clever and elegant solutions to programming problems:

```
factors :: Integer -> [Integer]
factors n = [ x | x <- [1..n], n `mod` x == 0 ]
```

```
isPrime :: Integer -> Bool
isPrime n = factors n == [1,n]
```

```
primesLessThan :: Integer -> [Integer]
primesLessThan n = [ x | x <- [2 .. n], isPrime x ]
```

Main> primesLessThan 100
List Monad

To conclude, **quicksort** is a nice example of the power of list comprehensions:

```haskell
qsort :: [Integer] -> [Integer]

qsort [] = []

qsort (x:xs) = (qsort small) ++ mid ++ (qsort large)
  where small = [ y | y <- xs, y < x]
   mid   = [ y | y <- xs, y == x] ++ [x]
   large = [ y | y <- xs, y > x]

Main> qsort [2,5,2,23,7,5,3,-90,5,6,4,213,74,56,-8]

[-90,-8,2,2,3,4,5,5,5,6,7,23,56,74,213]
```