## CS 583 – Computational Audio

Wayne Snyder Computer Science Department Boston University

Lecture 17

Conclusions on Beat Tracking (on notebook) Similarity Matrices for Alignment/Synchronization Self-similarity Matrices for Structure Analysis Segmentation



**Computer Science** 

How to compare music with different tempos and timings, and why?

We may want to synchronize two different forms of a signal, e.g., MIDI and WAV File:



# Or a score and audio file (e.g., for a Score-following program:



Time (seconds)

# Music Synchronization: Scan-Audio

#### **Scanned Sheet Music**

#### Symbolic Note Events



# **Application: Score Viewer**

Tonara iPad App: <a href="https://www.youtube.com/user/TonaraSystems">https://www.youtube.com/user/TonaraSystems</a>

Tonara Interactive Piano Sheet Music By Tonara Ltd. Open iTunes to buy and download apps. Description

\*\*\*

"Something interactive like this will do to s Not all scores were created equal.

Tonara Ltd. Web Site > Tonara Interactiv

What's New in Version 3.2.3

bug fixes

Free

View in iTunes

# Musicologists and musicians would like to compare multiple versions of the same piece:

🔝 Interpretation Switcher	
III Repeat Interpretation Switcher	
Beethoven_Op067-1_Symphony5_Bernstein	03:39.49
Beethoven_Op067-1_Symphony5_Karajan	03:09.84
Beethoven_Op067-1_Symphony5_Kegel	03:13.84
Beethoven_Op067-1_Symphony5_Sawallisch	03:27.84
Beethoven_Op067-1_Symphony5_Scherbakov	03:09.25
Beethoven_Op067-1_Symphony5_OrchestraMIDI	02:43.64

**Beethoven's Fifth** 



Synchronization: Karajan -> Scherbakov

Music Synchronization: Audio-Audio This is generally done on the chromagram level:



#### Scherbakov



The standard techniques uses a Similarity/Cost Matrix to compare each chromagraph window in one piece with every window in the other, and measuring their distance:



The result of this analysis is a cost-minimizing warping path which gives the alignment:



Let's consider a simple related problem as a warmup to the issues....

**Approximate String Matching Problem:** 

Given two strings  $a_1a_2...a_n$  and  $b_1...b_m$  what is the minimum edit distance between the two strings relative to a set of edit operations with costs, e.g.,

delete a character (from either string)	<b>cost = 1</b>
change a character	<b>cost = 1</b>

The goal is to minimize the total cost to convert one string to another.



total cost = 4

How to compute minimum cost path between  $a_1a_2...a_n$  and  $b_1...b_m$ ?

Create n x m Distance Matrix, giving distance between each pair of letters; supposing cost of a change = 1 for all all pairs







How to compute minimum cost path between  $a_1a_2...a_n$  and  $b_1...b_m$ ?

Create n x m Distance Matrix, giving distance between each pair of letters; supposing cost of a change = distance between letters



Distance Matrix

How to compute minimum cost path between  $a_1a_2...a_n$  and  $b_1...b_m$ ?

Create n x m Distance Matrix, giving distance between each pair of letters; supposing cost of a change = distance between letters on a keyboard



How to compute minimum cost path between  $a_1a_2...a_n$  and  $b_1...b_m$ ?

Next, create a Cost Matrix, giving the minimum cost to arrive at a particular cell in the matrix; for cell (r,c), this is the minimum cost of matching  $s = a_1a_2...a_r$  and  $t = b_1...b_c$ 

```
C = [[0 \text{ for } x \text{ in } range(len(Cols))] \text{ for } y \text{ in } range(len(Rows))]
C[0][0] = D[0][0]
for c in range(1, len(Cols)):

C[0][c] = C[0][c-1] + 1
for r in range(1, len(Rows)):

C[r][0] = C[r-1][0] + 1
for c in range(1, len(Cols)):

left = C[r][c-1] + 1
up = C[r-1][c] + 1
upleft = C[r-1][c-1] + D[r-1][c-1]
C[k][m] = min(left, up, upleft)
deleft
```



#### Next, create a Cost Matrix.....

Distance Matrix

1.0 s n d е е Т r S - 0.8 n-- 0.6 У· d٠ - 0.4 e-0.2 r-L 0.0 0.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 0.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 0.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 0.0 1.0 0.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 0.0



Cost Matrix

Finally, while creating the Cost Matrix, keep track of the minimum path from the upper left to the lower right corner:



Finally, while creating the Cost Matrix, keep track of the minimum path from the upper left to the lower right corner:



Finally, while creating the Cost Matrix, keep track of the minimum path from the upper left to the lower right corner:



Distance Matrix



Cost Matrix with Least-Cost Path (cost=8)

When applied to two Time Series (e.g., audio signals) this technique is called Dynamic Time Warping.



To find the *best alignment* between  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  one needs to find the path through the grid

$$P = p_1, \ldots, p_s, \ldots, p_k$$

$$\boldsymbol{p}_{s} = (\boldsymbol{i}_{s}, \boldsymbol{j}_{s})$$

which *minimizes* the total distance between them.

*P* is called a *warping function*.

# Optimisations to the DTW Algorithm



The number of possible warping paths through the grid is exponentially explosive!

reduction of the search space

#### Restrictions on the warping function:

- monotonicity
- continuity
- boundary conditions
- warping window
- slope constraint.

The result is an alignment between the two signals which can then be used for score alignment, etc.



Or one sequence can be time shifted (using a vocoder) so that it exactly matches the timing of the other.

# **Music Structure Analysis**

In approximate string matching, it is interesting to try the self-similarity of a string of symbols.....

#### SNYDER SNYDER





In approximate string matching, it is interesting to try the self-similarity of a string of symbols.....

#### **HUMBERT HUMBERT**



In approximate string matching, it is interesting to try the self-similarity of a string of symbols.....



#### Distance Matrix

In approximate string matching, it is interesting to try the self-similarity of a string of symbols.....



In approximate string matching, it is interesting to try the self-similarity of a string of symbols.....





In approximate string matching, it is interesting to try the self-similarity of a string of symbols.....



# Self-similarity matrix for Audio Signals

- Vertical and horizontal axes represent time
- symmetric similarity function = symmetric matrix of distance measures
- Main diagonal: closer/ most similar values
- similar subsequences (repetitions) -> diagonal stripes in the plot



# Self-similarity matrix



# Identifying structure from audio

• Arthur G. Lintgen: able to identify unlabeled recorded orchestral works by observing the spacing and patterns of grooves in an LP



Lintgen setting his sights on the patterns in some new discs

 Inspired J. Foote (ISMIR, 2000) to develop a MIR system based on structural similarity

# **Musical Form**

- Units can be assigned letters (A, B, C) or functional names (intro, verse, chorus, bridge, etc)
- Strophic: repeats the same section, e.g. AA...
- Binary: alternates two sections, which are often repeated, e.g. ABAB or AABB
- Ternary: third section is often a variation of the first, e.g. AABA, AABA', AA'BA'
- Arch: symmetric, repetition of sections around a center, e.g. ABCBA
- Rondo: main theme is alternated with sub-themes, e.g. ABACADA.....
- Variations: theme plus variations, e.g. AA<sup>i</sup>A<sup>ii</sup>AA<sup>iii</sup>
- Sonata: complex developmental form including the exposition, development and recapitulation of a given theme(s).

# Repetition

- Musical form is often defined by the amount of repetition across sectional units.
- Repetition is central to music (in harmony, melody, rhythm, etc).
- Significant variations are often found between repeated parts.



### Repetition

• The information necessary to characterize repetitions is encoded in the feature vectors (e.g., chroma, spectrum, etc.)



Audio Structure Analysis

Given: CD recording

**Goal:** Automatic extraction of the repetitive structure (or of the musical form)

**Example:** Brahms Hungarian Dance No. 5





- Extract audio feature vectors (e.g., spectrograph, mel spectrograph, chromagraph)
- Cost measure and cost matrix self-similarity matrix
- Path extraction (pairwise similarity of segments)
- Global structure (clustering, grouping)

# Self-similarity matrix



# Self-similarity matrix



Let's look at a similarity matrix and hear the piece of music to see how it represents the structure....



Figure 1. First bars of Bach's Prelude No. 1 in C Major, BVW 846, from The Well-Tempered Clavier

### Self-similarity matrix





### Self-similarity matrix





### Self-similarity matrix





### Self-similarity matrix



Similarity structure

200

### Self-similarity matrix





### Self-similarity matrix





# **High-Resolution Music Synchronization**

- Normalized chroma features

   → robust to changes in instrumentation and dynamics
   → robust synchronization of reasonable overall quality
- Drawback: low temporal alignment accuracy
- Idea: Integration of note onset information

# **High-Resolution Music Synchronization**

Cost matrix windows are based on based on onset intervals, not uniformly spaced! Audio MIDI

0.8

0.6 0.4

0.2

0



Cost matrix



 $\checkmark$ 

Warping path based on onset information

10

12.2

# **High-Resolution Music Synchronization**

### Impulses



### **Decaying impulses**



- Music segmentation
  - pitch content (e.g., melody, harmony)
  - music texture (e.g., timbre, instruments)
  - rhythm
  - How to find the musical "sections" of the piece?



Figure 2. Gould performance showing note boundaries

- Basic idea (from image processing) uses a kernel or mask to modify data points according to their neighbors
- Each data point is replaced by the weighted sum of its neighbors \* kernel values
- This is just convolution, but in 2 dimensions!.....



 A binary kernel finds boundaries along the axis where sections of music different from neighboring sections



0 0 0 1 1 1 0  $\mathbf{0}$  $\mathbf{0}$ 0  $\mathbf{0}$  $\mathbf{O}$ 0 0 Ω  $\mathbf{0}$  $\mathbf{0}$  $\cap$ 1 1 0 0 0 0

Figure 2. Gould performance showing note boundaries

 A Gaussian Kernel emphasizes changes at the center, and deemphasizes the edges (c.f. Hann Windows)



Figure 2. Gould performance showing note boundaries



Figure 3. 64 x 64 checkerboard kernel with Gaussian taper

• The kernel is slid along the axis of the similarity matrix, and the value of the convolution is recorded for each time (in the center of the kernel):



Figure 2. Gould performance showing note boundaries

0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1
0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1
0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1
0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1
1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
	•		•	U	<b>U</b>	U U	U
1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0

• The kernel is slid along the axis of the similarity matrix, and the value of the convolution is recorded for each time (in the center of the kernel):



Figure 2. Gould performance showing note boundaries

0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1
0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1
0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1
0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1
1	1	1	1	<b>0</b>	0	0	0
			•	U	U	U	U
1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
1 1	1 1	1 1	י 1 1	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0

• The kernel is slid along the axis of the similarity matrix, and the value of the convolution is recorded for each time (in the center of the kernel):



0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0  $\mathbf{0}$ () $\mathbf{0}$  $\mathbf{0}$  $\mathbf{0}$  $\mathbf{0}$ 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 1

Figure 2. Gould performance showing note boundaries

- As the kernel is slid along the axis, the values calculated give us a "novelty score" for how much the music is changing at that point
- Different kernel types and sizes give a different perspective on the scale of the changes, from individual notes to large sections....
- Peak picking gives us the times where there is a potential start of a new segment of music:

