Homework 6

Rick Skowyra CS535

Problem 2

Claim: NP is not included in $DTIME(n^k)$ for any fixed $k \ge 1$.

Proof: This can be shown via a contradiction arising from application of the time hierarchy theorem. Assume that the claim is true, that is, there exists some k such that $NP \subseteq DTIME(n^k)$. By corollary 5.15 of the time hierarchy theorem, $DTIME(n^k) \subseteq DTIME(n^{k+1})$. We know that $DTIME(n^{k+1}) \subseteq NTIME(n^{k+1})$ via the fact that $P \subseteq NP$. Therefore, $DTIME(n^{k+1}) \subseteq NTIME(n^{k+1}) \subseteq NP \subseteq DTIME(n^k) \subseteq DTIME(n^{k+1})$. A set cannot be properly contained within itself, so the claim must be false.

Problem 3

Claim: $NSPACE(2^n) \subset DSPACE(2^{n^2})$

Proof: By Savitch's theorem, $NSPACE(2^n) \subseteq DSPACE(2^{2n})$. Since $2^{2n} \in o(2^{n^2})$ and both functions are fully space constructible, we can apply the space hierarchy theorem and state that $NSPACE(2^n) \subseteq DSPACE(2^{2n}) \subset DSPACE(2^{n^2})$

Problem 4

Claim: $L = \{e \mid M_e \text{ accepts the string } 00 \}$ is c.e.

Proof: To show that a language L is c.e., it suffices to construct a TM N which will halt on on all strings x s.t. $x \in L$, and not halt otherwise. Let N have a read-only input tape and three work tapes. Construct N as follows:

- 1. Read the input e, which is assumed to be a valid encoding of a Turing Machine.
- 2. Use tape 1 for any operations necessary to construct simulate M_e .
- 3. Simulate $M_e(00)$ on the second work tape, allowing it to use the third work tape as its own work tape. Note that M_e may never halt, so N may never halt.
- 4. If M_e halts in an accepting state, halt and accept.
- 5. If M_e halts in a rejecting state, loop.

Note that the above TM will always halt if M_e accepts the string 00, and will never halt otherwise, therefore L is acceptable. Since a set if c.e. if and only if it is acceptable, L is c.e.

Problem 5

Claim: Any partial c.e. set is actually a c.e. set.

Proof: A set is defined as partial-c.e. if it is the range of a partial-computable function. To prove the claim, we can show (via Corollary 3.2) that any partial-c.e. set is also the domain of a partial computable function. For partial-c.e. set S, $\exists f$ s.t. range(f) = S and f is partial-computable. By definition, there also exists a TM M which computes f. Then we can construct an algorithm which computes the partial-computable function $g: N \to N$ s.t. domain(g) = S. The algorithm works as follows:

- 1. On input word w:
- 2. x = 1
- 3. While (true)
 - (a) Start simulating M(x)

- (b) Evaluate one step of all currently running computations. If any halt with w on their output tape, halt and accept with x on the output tape.
- (c) Increment x

Note the above algorithm halts only if f(w) is defined. That is, only if $w \in range(f)$. Furthermore, note that if the algorithm halts, then w is by definition in the domain of g, since g is defined (halts with an output) on g(w). If one of the above statements is not true, then the algorithm does not halt. S = domain(g) and S = range(f), therefore partial-c.e. set S is c.e..