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- Let $\Gamma$ a (possibly infinite) set of propositional WFF's.

If, for every model/interpretation/valuation
(i.e., assignment of truth values to prop atoms), it holds that:

- whenever all the WFF's in $\Gamma$ evaluate to $\mathbf{T}$,
- it is also the case that $\psi$ evaluates to $\mathbf{T}$, then we write:

$$
\Gamma \models \psi \quad \text { in words, " } \Gamma \text { semantically entails } \psi "
$$
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- Theorem (Soundness):

```
If }\Gamma\vdash\psi\mathrm{ then }\Gamma\models\psi\mathrm{ .
```

(Slightly stronger than the statement of Soundness in [LCS, Theorem 1.35, p 46]:
If $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}, \ldots, \varphi_{n} \vdash \psi$ then $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}, \ldots, \varphi_{n} \models \psi$.)
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If, for every model/interpretation/valuation
(i.e., assignment of truth values to prop atoms), it holds that:

- whenever all the WFF's in $\Gamma$ evaluate to $\mathbf{T}$,
- it is also the case that $\psi$ evaluates to $\mathbf{T}$, then we write:
$\Gamma \models \psi \quad$ in words, " $\Gamma$ semantically entails $\psi$ "
- Theorem (Soundness): If $\Gamma \vdash \psi$ then $\Gamma \models \psi$.
(Slightly stronger than the statement of Soundness in [LCS, Theorem 1.35, p 46]:
If $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}, \ldots, \varphi_{n} \vdash \psi$ then $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}, \ldots, \varphi_{n} \models \psi$.)
- Proof idea: "Course-of-values" induction on $n \geqslant 1$ (in a later Handout 06).
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- If $\Gamma$ is infinite, we need another preliminary result: Compactness
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- $\Gamma$ is said to be satisfiable if there is a model/interpretation/valuation which satisfies/makes true every $\varphi$ in $\Gamma$.
- Theorem (Compactness) (not in [LCS]):
$\Gamma$ is satisfiable iff every finite subset of $\Gamma$ is satisfiable.
- Corollary (not in [LCS]): If $\Gamma \models \psi$ then there is a finite subset $\Gamma_{0} \subseteq \Gamma$ such that $\Gamma_{0} \models \psi$.
- For proofs of Compactness above and its corollary, click here .

