# CS 512, Spring 2017, Handout 20 Examples of Relational/Algebraic Structures: Posets, Lattices, Heyting Algebras, Boolean Algebras, and more

Assaf Kfoury

March 21, 2017

Assaf Kfoury, CS 512, Spring 2017, Handout 20

An algebraic structure A, or just an algebra A, is a set A, called the carrier set or underlying set of A, with one or more operations on the carrier A. (Search the Web, here and here, for more details.)

- An algebraic structure A, or just an algebra A, is a set A, called the carrier set or underlying set of A, with one or more operations on the carrier A. (Search the Web, here and here, for more details.)
- Examples of algebraic structures:
  - $\blacktriangleright (\mathbb{Z},+,\cdot)$

the set of integers with **binary** operations addition "+" and multiplication " $\cdot$ ",

► (N, succ, pred, 0, 1) the set of natural numbers with unary operations, "succ" and "pred", and nullary operations, "0" and "1",

- An algebraic structure A, or just an algebra A, is a set A, called the carrier set or underlying set of A, with one or more operations on the carrier A. (Search the Web, here and here, for more details.)
- Examples of algebraic structures:
  - $\blacktriangleright (\mathbb{Z},+,\cdot)$

the set of integers with **binary** operations addition "+" and multiplication " $\cdot$ ",

- ► (N, succ, pred, 0, 1) the set of natural numbers with unary operations, "succ" and "pred", and nullary operations, "0" and "1",
- (T, node, Lt, Rt) where T is the least set such that:

 $T \supseteq \{a, b, c\} \cup \{ \langle t_1 \ t_2 \rangle \mid t_1, t_2 \in T \}$ 

with one **binary** operation "node" and two **unary** operations "Lt" and "Rt", defined by:

node : 
$$T \times T \to T$$
 such that node $(t_1, t_2) = \langle t_1 t_2 \rangle$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{Lt}: T \to T & \text{such that } \mathsf{Lt}(t) &= \begin{cases} t_1 & \text{if } t = \langle t_1 \ t_2 \rangle, \\ \text{undefined otherwise.} \end{cases} \\ \mathsf{Rt}: T \to T & \text{such that } \mathsf{Rt}(t) &= \begin{cases} t_2 & \text{if } t = \langle t_1 \ t_2 \rangle, \\ \text{undefined otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathsf{node}: T \times T \to T & \mathsf{such that} \ \mathsf{node}(t_1, t_2) \ = \ \langle t_1 \ t_2 \rangle \\ \mathsf{Lt}: T \to T & \mathsf{such that} \ \mathsf{Lt}(t) & = \ \begin{cases} t_1 & \mathsf{if} \ t = \ \langle t_1 \ t_2 \rangle, \\ \mathsf{undefined} & \mathsf{otherwise.} \end{cases} \\ \mathsf{Rt}: T \to T & \mathsf{such that} \ \mathsf{Rt}(t) & = \ \begin{cases} t_2 & \mathsf{if} \ t = \ \langle t_1 \ t_2 \rangle, \\ \mathsf{undefined} & \mathsf{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{array}$$

Sometimes an algebraic structure includes two (or more) carriers, together with operations between them, in which case we say the algebraic structure is two-sorted (or multi-sorted).

node : 
$$T \times T \to T$$
 such that node $(t_1, t_2) = \langle t_1 t_2 \rangle$   
Lt :  $T \to T$  such that Lt $(t)$  =  $\begin{cases} t_1 & \text{if } t = \langle t_1 t_2 \rangle \\ \text{undefined otherwise.} \end{cases}$ 

 $\mathsf{Rt}: T \to T \qquad \text{such that } \mathsf{Rt}(t) \qquad = \begin{cases} t_2 & \text{if } t = \langle t_1 \, t_2 \rangle, \\ \text{undefined otherwise.} \end{cases}$ 

- Sometimes an algebraic structure includes two (or more) carriers, together with operations between them, in which case we say the algebraic structure is two-sorted (or multi-sorted).
- Examples of two-sorted algebraic structures:

▶ 
$$(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{B}, \leq, +, \cdot)$$
 where  $\mathbb{B} = \{F, T\}$  and  $\leq : \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{B}$ .

 $t_2\rangle$ ,

node : 
$$T \times T \to T$$
 such that node $(t_1, t_2) = \langle t_1 t_2 \rangle$   
Lt :  $T \to T$  such that Lt $(t) = \begin{cases} t_1 & \text{if } t = \langle t_1 t_2 \rangle, \\ \text{undefined otherwise.} \end{cases}$ 

 $\mathsf{Rt}: T \to T \qquad \text{ such that } \mathsf{Rt}(t) \qquad = \begin{cases} t_2 & \text{if } t = \langle t_1 \, t_2 \rangle, \\ \text{undefined otherwise.} \end{cases}$ 

- Sometimes an algebraic structure includes two (or more) carriers, together with operations between them, in which case we say the algebraic structure is two-sorted (or multi-sorted).
- Examples of two-sorted algebraic structures:
  - $\blacktriangleright \ (\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{B},\leqslant,+,\cdot) \quad \text{ where } \mathbb{B}=\{\textbf{F},\textbf{T}\} \ \text{ and } \leqslant \colon \mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z}\to\mathbb{B}.$
  - ►  $(T, \mathbb{N}, \text{node}, \text{Lt}, \text{Rt}, ||, \text{height})$  where *T* is defined on the previous slide, with  $||: T \to \mathbb{N}$  and height  $: T \to \mathbb{N}$ .

Sometimes in a multi-sorted algebraic structure, such as (ℤ, 𝔅, ≤, +, ·), we omit the Boolean carrier 𝔅 for brevity and simply write (ℤ, ≤, +, ·).

- Sometimes in a multi-sorted algebraic structure, such as (ℤ, 𝔅, ≤, +, ·), we omit the Boolean carrier 𝔅 for brevity and simply write (ℤ, ≤, +, ·).
- ► This assumes that it is clear to the reader that "≤" is a function from ℤ × ℤ to ℝ, *i.e.*, "≤" is a binary **relation** (rather than a binary **function** or **operation**). As a binary relation, we can write:

 $\leqslant \subseteq \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}.$ 

- Sometimes in a multi-sorted algebraic structure, such as (ℤ, 𝔅, ≤, +, ·), we omit the Boolean carrier 𝔅 for brevity and simply write (ℤ, ≤, +, ·).
- This assumes that it is clear to the reader that "≤" is a function from Z × Z to B, *i.e.*, "≤" is a binary **relation** (rather than a binary **function** or **operation**). As a binary relation, we can write: ≤ ⊆ Z × Z.
- Strictly speaking, a structure such as (ℤ, ≤, +, ·), which now includes operations as well as relations, is called a relational structure rather than just an algebraic structure.

- Sometimes in a multi-sorted algebraic structure, such as (ℤ, 𝔅, ≤, +, ·), we omit the Boolean carrier 𝔅 for brevity and simply write (ℤ, ≤, +, ·).
- This assumes that it is clear to the reader that "≤" is a function from Z × Z to B, *i.e.*, "≤" is a binary **relation** (rather than a binary **function** or **operation**). As a binary relation, we can write: ≤ ⊆ Z × Z.
- Strictly speaking, a structure such as (ℤ, ≤, +, ·), which now includes operations as well as relations, is called a relational structure rather than just an algebraic structure.
- But the transition from algebraic structures to more general relational structures is not demarcated sharply.
- In particular, if a struture A includes one or two relations with standard meanings (such as "≤"), we can continue to call A an algebraic structure.

#### Posets: definitions and examples

A partially ordered set, or poset for short, is a set P with a partial ordering ≤ on P, *i.e.*, for all a, b, c ∈ P, the ordering ≤ satisfies:

$$a \leq a$$
"  $\trianglelefteq$  is reflexive" $(a \leq b \text{ and } b \leq a)$  imply  $a = b$ "  $\trianglelefteq$  is anti-symmetric" $(a \leq b \text{ and } b \leq c)$  imply  $a \leq c$ "  $\trianglelefteq$  is transitive"

The ordering  $\trianglelefteq$  is **total** if it also satisfies for all  $a, b \in P$ :

 $(a \leq b)$  or  $(b \leq a)$ 

#### Posets: definitions and examples

A partially ordered set, or poset for short, is a set P with a partial ordering ≤ on P, *i.e.*, for all a, b, c ∈ P, the ordering ≤ satisfies:

$$a \leq a$$
"  $\trianglelefteq$  is reflexive" $(a \leq b \text{ and } b \leq a)$  imply  $a = b$ "  $\trianglelefteq$  is anti-symmetric" $(a \leq b \text{ and } b \leq c)$  imply  $a \leq c$ "  $\trianglelefteq$  is transitive"

The ordering  $\trianglelefteq$  is **total** if it also satisfies for all  $a, b \in P$ :

 $(a \trianglelefteq b)$  or  $(b \trianglelefteq a)$ 

#### Examples of posets:

(1)  $(2^{A}, \trianglelefteq)$  where *A* is a non-empty set and  $\trianglelefteq$  is  $\subseteq$ , (2)  $(\mathbb{N} - \{0\}, \trianglelefteq)$  where  $m \trianglelefteq n$  iff "*m* divides *n*", (3)  $(\mathbb{N}, \trianglelefteq)$  where  $\trianglelefteq$  is the usual ordering  $\leqslant$ .

In (1) and (2),  $\trianglelefteq$  is **not total**; in (3),  $\trianglelefteq$  is **total**.

# Lattices: definitions and examples

- An lattice L is an algebraic structure (L, ≤, ∨, ∧) where ∨ and ∧ are binary operations, and ≤ is a binary relation, such that:
  - $(L, \leq)$  is a poset,
  - ▶ for all  $a, b \in L$ , the **least upper bound** of *a* and *b* in the ordering  $\trianglelefteq$ 
    - exists,
    - is unique,
    - ► and is the result of the operation "a ∨ b",
  - ▶ for all  $a, b \in L$ , the greatest lower bound of a and b in  $\trianglelefteq$ 
    - exists,
    - is unique,
    - and is the result of the operation " $a \wedge b$ ".

## Lattices: definitions and examples

- An lattice L is an algebraic structure (L, ≤, ∨, ∧) where ∨ and ∧ are binary operations, and ≤ is a binary relation, such that:
  - $(L, \leq)$  is a poset,
  - ▶ for all  $a, b \in L$ , the **least upper bound** of *a* and *b* in the ordering  $\trianglelefteq$ 
    - exists,
    - is unique,
    - ► and is the result of the operation "a ∨ b",
  - ▶ for all  $a, b \in L$ , the greatest lower bound of a and b in  $\trianglelefteq$ 
    - exists,
    - is unique,
    - ► and is the result of the operation "a ∧ b".
- Examples of lattices:
  - $\blacktriangleright \quad (2^A,\,\trianglelefteq\,,\lor,\land) \qquad \text{where} \ \trianglelefteq \ \text{is}\subseteq, \ \lor \ \text{is}\cup, \ \land \ \text{is}\cap$

# Lattices: definitions and examples

- An lattice L is an algebraic structure (L, ≤, ∨, ∧) where ∨ and ∧ are binary operations, and ≤ is a binary relation, such that:
  - $(L, \leq)$  is a poset,
  - ▶ for all  $a, b \in L$ , the **least upper bound** of *a* and *b* in the ordering  $\trianglelefteq$ 
    - exists,
    - is unique,
    - ► and is the result of the operation "a ∨ b",
  - ▶ for all  $a, b \in L$ , the greatest lower bound of a and b in  $\trianglelefteq$ 
    - exists,
    - is unique,
    - ► and is the result of the operation "a ∧ b".
- Examples of lattices:
  - $\blacktriangleright \quad (2^A,\,\trianglelefteq\,,\lor,\land) \qquad \text{where} \ \trianglelefteq \ \text{is}\subseteq, \ \lor \ \text{is}\cup, \ \land \ \text{is}\cap$

► 
$$(\mathbb{N} - \{0\}, \leq , \lor, \land)$$
  
where  $m \leq n$  iff "*m* divides *n*",  $\lor$  is "lcm",  $\land$  is "gcd"

#### Distributive Lattices: definitions and examples

A lattice L = (L, ⊴, ∨, ∧) is a distributive lattice if for all a, b, c ∈ L, the following equations – also called axioms or equational axioms – are satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned} a \wedge (b \lor c) &= (a \land b) \lor (a \land c) & \text{``} \land \text{''} \text{ distributes over ``} \lor \text{''} \\ a \lor (b \land c) &= (a \lor b) \land (a \lor c) & \text{``} \lor \text{''} \text{ distributes over ``} \land \text{''} \end{aligned}$$

#### Distributive Lattices: definitions and examples

A lattice L = (L, ⊴, ∨, ∧) is a distributive lattice if for all a, b, c ∈ L, the following equations – also called axioms or equational axioms – are satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned} a \wedge (b \lor c) &= (a \wedge b) \lor (a \wedge c) & \text{``} \land \text{''} \text{ distributes over ``} \lor \\ a \lor (b \wedge c) &= (a \lor b) \land (a \lor c) & \text{``} \lor \text{''} \text{ distributes over ``} \land \text{''} \end{aligned}$$

Example of a distributive lattice:

 $(2^A,\subseteq,\cup,\cap)$ 

# Distributive Lattices: definitions and examples

A lattice L = (L, ⊴, ∨, ∧) is a distributive lattice if for all a, b, c ∈ L, the following equations – also called axioms or equational axioms – are satisfied:

$$a \wedge (b \lor c) = (a \wedge b) \lor (a \wedge c)$$
 " $\land$ " distributes over " $\lor$ "  
 $a \lor (b \land c) = (a \lor b) \land (a \lor c)$  " $\lor$ " distributes over " $\land$ "

Example of a distributive lattice:

 $(2^A,\subseteq,\cup,\cap)$ 

Is the following an example of a distributive lattice?

 $(\mathbb{N} - \{0\},$ "-- divides --", lcm, gcd)

 For more details on posets and lattices, go to the Web: here (Hasse diagrams), here (distributive lattices), and here.

A bounded lattice is an algebraic structure of the form

$$\mathcal{L} = (L, \trianglelefteq, \lor, \land, \bot, \top)$$

where  $\perp$  and  $\top$  are **nullary** (or **0-ary**) **operations** on *L* (or, equivalently, **elements** in *L*) such that:

1.  $\mathcal{L} = (L, \ \trianglelefteq, \lor, \land)$  is a lattice,

2. 
$$\perp \trianglelefteq a$$
 or, equivalently,  $\perp \land a = \bot$  for every  $a \in L$ ,

3.  $a \trianglelefteq \top$  or, equivalently,  $a \lor \top = \top$  for every  $a \in L$ .

The elements  $\perp$  and  $\top$  are uniquely defined.  $\perp$  is the **minimum** element, and  $\top$  is the **maximum** element, of the bounded lattice.

A bounded lattice is an algebraic structure of the form

$$\mathcal{L} = (L, \trianglelefteq, \lor, \land, \bot, \top)$$

where  $\perp$  and  $\top$  are **nullary** (or **0-ary**) **operations** on *L* (or, equivalently, **elements** in *L*) such that:

1.  $\mathcal{L} = (L, \ \trianglelefteq, \lor, \land)$  is a lattice,

2. 
$$\perp \trianglelefteq a$$
 or, equivalently,  $\perp \land a = \bot$  for every  $a \in L$ ,

3.  $a \trianglelefteq \top$  or, equivalently,  $a \lor \top = \top$  for every  $a \in L$ .

The elements  $\perp$  and  $\top$  are uniquely defined.  $\perp$  is the **minimum** element, and  $\top$  is the **maximum** element, of the bounded lattice.

► Example of a bounded lattice: 
$$(2^A, \subseteq, \cup, \cap, \varnothing, A)$$

A bounded lattice is an algebraic structure of the form

$$\mathcal{L} = (L, \trianglelefteq, \lor, \land, \bot, \top)$$

where  $\perp$  and  $\top$  are **nullary** (or **0-ary**) **operations** on *L* (or, equivalently, **elements** in *L*) such that:

1.  $\mathcal{L} = (L, \ \trianglelefteq, \lor, \land)$  is a lattice,

2. 
$$\perp \trianglelefteq a$$
 or, equivalently,  $\perp \land a = \bot$  for every  $a \in L$ ,

3.  $a \trianglelefteq \top$  or, equivalently,  $a \lor \top = \top$  for every  $a \in L$ .

The elements  $\perp$  and  $\top$  are uniquely defined.  $\perp$  is the **minimum** element, and  $\top$  is the **maximum** element, of the bounded lattice.

- Example of a bounded lattice:  $(2^A, \subseteq, \cup, \cap, \emptyset, A)$
- Example a lattice with a minimum, but no maximum:

$$(\mathbb{N}-\{0\},$$
 "\_- divides \_-", lcm, gcd,  $1 \over 4)$ 

▶ Let  $\mathcal{L} = (L, \trianglelefteq, \lor, \land, \bot, \top)$  be a bounded lattice. An element  $a \in L$  has a complement  $b \in L$  iff:

 $a \wedge b = \bot$  and  $a \vee b = \top$ 

**FACT**: In a **bounded distributive lattice**, **complements** are uniquely defined, *i.e.*, an element  $a \in L$  cannot have more than one complement  $b \in L$ .

Proof. Exercise.

#### Complemented Lattices: definitions and examples

A complemented lattice is a bounded distributive lattice
L = (L, ≤, ∨, ∧, ⊥, ⊤) where every element has a complement.

### Complemented Lattices: definitions and examples

- A complemented lattice is a bounded distributive lattice
  L = (L, ⊴, ∨, ∧, ⊥, ⊤) where every element has a complement.
- ► Example of a complemented lattice:  $(2^A, \subseteq, \cup, \cap, \emptyset, A)$
- Again, for more details various kinds of lattices, go to the Web: here (Hasse diagrams), here (distributive lattices), here (lattices).

A complemented lattice L = (L, ≤, ∨, ∧, ⊥, ⊤) is almost a Boolean algebra, but not quite!

What is missing is an **additional operation** on *L* to map an element  $a \in L$  to its **complement**.

A complemented lattice L = (L, ≤, ∨, ∧, ⊥, ⊤) is almost a Boolean algebra, but not quite!

What is missing is an **additional operation** on *L* to map an element  $a \in L$  to its **complement**.

A <u>first definition</u> of a Boolean algebra:

$$\mathcal{L} = (L, \trianglelefteq, \lor, \land, \bot, \top, \neg)$$

A complemented lattice L = (L, ≤, ∨, ∧, ⊥, ⊤) is almost a Boolean algebra, but not quite!

What is missing is an **additional operation** on *L* to map an element  $a \in L$  to its **complement**.

A <u>first definition</u> of a Boolean algebra:

$$\mathcal{L} = (L, \trianglelefteq, \lor, \land, \bot, \top, \neg)$$

- 1.  $\mathcal{L} = (L, \leq, \lor, \land, \bot, \top)$  is a complemented lattice,
- The new operation "¬" is **unary** and maps every *a* ∈ *L* to its complement, *i.e.*:

$$a \wedge (\neg a) = \bot$$
 and  $a \vee (\neg a) = \top$ 

A second definition of a Boolean algebra

(easier to compare with Heyting algebras later) :

$$\mathcal{L} = (L, \trianglelefteq, \lor, \land, \bot, \top, \overrightarrow{\uparrow})$$

A second definition of a Boolean algebra

(easier to compare with Heyting algebras later) :

$$\mathcal{L} = (L, \leq , \lor, \land, \bot, \top, \xrightarrow{\uparrow})$$

- 1.  $\mathcal{L} = (L, \trianglelefteq, \lor, \land, \bot, \top)$  is a complemented lattice,
- 2. The new operation " $\rightarrow$ " is **binary** such that  $(a \rightarrow \bot)$  is the complement of *a*, for every every  $a \in L$ .

A second definition of a Boolean algebra

(easier to compare with Heyting algebras later) :

$$\mathcal{L} = (L, \leq , \lor, \land, \bot, \top, \xrightarrow{\uparrow})$$

where:

- 1.  $\mathcal{L} = (L, \trianglelefteq, \lor, \land, \bot, \top)$  is a complemented lattice,
- 2. The new operation " $\rightarrow$ " is **binary** such that  $(a \rightarrow \bot)$  is the complement of *a*, for every every  $a \in L$ .
- ► FACT: The two preceding definitions of Boolean algebras are equivalent because we can define "→" in terms of {∨, ¬}:

$$a \to b := (\neg a) \lor b$$

as well as define " $\neg$ " in terms of  $\{\rightarrow, \bot\}$ :

$$\neg a := a \rightarrow \bot$$

Assaf Kfoury, CS 512, Spring 2017, Handout 20

- Examples of Boolean algebras:
  - ► For an arbitrary non-empty set *A*:

 $(2^A,\subseteq,\cup,\cap,\varnothing,A,{}^-)$ 

where  $\overline{X} = A - X$  for every  $X \subseteq A$ .

- Examples of Boolean algebras:
  - ► For an arbitrary non-empty set *A*:

 $(2^A,\subseteq,\cup,\cap,\varnothing,A,{}^-)$ 

where  $\overline{X} = A - X$  for every  $X \subseteq A$ .

The standard 2-element Boolean algebra:

 $(\{0,1\},\leqslant,\lor,\land,0,1,\neg) \quad \text{or} \quad (\{0,1\},\leqslant,\lor,\land,0,1,\rightarrow)$ 

where we write "0" for **F** and "1" for **T**.

A Heyting algebra is an algebraic structure of the form

$$\mathcal{L} = (L, \trianglelefteq, \lor, \land, \bot, \top, \overrightarrow{})$$

A Heyting algebra is an algebraic structure of the form

$$\mathcal{L} = (L, \trianglelefteq, \lor, \land, \bot, \top, \xrightarrow{\uparrow})$$

where:

L = (L, ≤, ∨, ∧, ⊥, ⊤) is a bounded distributive lattice – not necessarily a complemented lattice,

A Heyting algebra is an algebraic structure of the form

$$\mathcal{L} = (L, \trianglelefteq, \lor, \land, \bot, \top, \overrightarrow{\uparrow})$$

- L = (L, ⊴, ∨, ∧, ⊥, ⊤) is a bounded distributive lattice not necessarily a complemented lattice,
- The new operation " $\rightarrow$ " is **binary** and satisfies the **equations**:

1. 
$$a \rightarrow a = \top$$
  
2.  $a \wedge (a \rightarrow b) = a \wedge b$   
3.  $a \rightarrow (b \wedge c) = (a \rightarrow b) \wedge (a \rightarrow c)$   
4.  $b \leq a \rightarrow b$ 

A Heyting algebra is an algebraic structure of the form

$$\mathcal{L} = (L, \trianglelefteq, \lor, \land, \bot, \top, \overrightarrow{})$$

where:

- L = (L, ⊴, ∨, ∧, ⊥, ⊤) is a bounded distributive lattice not necessarily a complemented lattice,
- The new operation " $\rightarrow$ " is **binary** and satisfies the **equations**:

1. 
$$a \rightarrow a = \top$$
  
2.  $a \wedge (a \rightarrow b) = a \wedge b$   
3.  $a \rightarrow (b \wedge c) = (a \rightarrow b) \wedge (a \rightarrow c)$   
4.  $b \leq a \rightarrow b$ 

**FACT:** The preceding equations uniquely define the operation " $\rightarrow$ ". *Proof.* Exercise.

A Heyting algebra is an algebraic structure of the form

$$\mathcal{L} = (L, \trianglelefteq, \lor, \land, \bot, \top, \overrightarrow{})$$

where:

- L = (L, ⊴, ∨, ∧, ⊥, ⊤) is a bounded distributive lattice not necessarily a complemented lattice,
- The new operation " $\rightarrow$ " is **binary** and satisfies the **equations**:

1. 
$$a \rightarrow a = \top$$
  
2.  $a \wedge (a \rightarrow b) = a \wedge b$   
3.  $a \rightarrow (b \wedge c) = (a \rightarrow b) \wedge (a \rightarrow c)$   
4.  $b \leq a \rightarrow b$ 

**FACT:** The preceding equations uniquely define the operation " $\rightarrow$ ". *Proof.* Exercise.

**FACT:** Every Boolean algebra is a Heyting algebra. *Proof.* Exercise.