CS 512, Spring 2017, Handout 24

Deductive Closures and First-Order Theories

Assaf Kfoury

March 29, 2017 (modified: April 2, 2017)

deductive closures and first-order theories

deductive closures and first-order theories

- Let Γ be a set of first-order sentences over signature Σ.
  The **deductive closure** of Γ is:

  $$\overline{\Gamma} \triangleq \{\, \varphi \mid \varphi \text{ first-order sentence s.t. } \Gamma \vdash \varphi \,\}$$

deductive closures and first-order theories

- Let Γ be a set of first-order sentences over signature Σ.
  The **deductive closure** of Γ is:

$$\overline{\Gamma} \triangleq \{\, \varphi \mid \varphi \text{ first-order sentence s.t. } \Gamma \vdash \varphi \,\}$$

- A **first-order theory** $\mathcal{T}$ over signature Σ consists of:
  - a set $\mathcal{A}$ of **axioms**, which are first-order sentences over Σ,
  - together with all first-order sentences deducible from $\mathcal{A}$.

deductive closures and first-order theories

- Let Γ be a set of first-order sentences over signature Σ.
  The **deductive closure** of Γ is:

  $$\overline{\Gamma} \triangleq \{ \varphi \mid \varphi \text{ first-order sentence s.t. } \Gamma \vdash \varphi \}$$

- A **first-order theory** $\mathcal{T}$ over signature Σ consists of:
  - a set $\mathcal{A}$ of **axioms**, which are first-order sentences over Σ,
  - together with all first-order sentences deducible from $\mathcal{A}$.

  Equivalently, a **first-order theory**
  is the **deductively closure** of a set of first-order sentences.

the first-order theory of a relational structure

- If $\mathcal{M}$ is a relational structure, the **first-order theory of $\mathcal{M}$** is:

$$\text{Th}(\mathcal{M}) \triangleq \{\, \varphi \mid \varphi \text{ is a first-order sentence s.t. } \mathcal{M} \models \varphi \,\}$$

Question: Is $\text{Th}(\mathcal{M})$ deductively closed?

the first-order theory of a relational structure

- If $\mathcal{M}$ is a relational structure, the **first-order theory of $\mathcal{M}$** is:

$$\text{Th}(\mathcal{M}) \triangleq \{\, \varphi \mid \varphi \text{ is a first-order sentence s.t. } \mathcal{M} \models \varphi \,\}$$

Question: Is $\text{Th}(\mathcal{M})$ deductively closed?

- Yes! Can you explain why?

the first-order theory of $\mathcal{N} \triangleq (\mathbb{N}, 0, S)$

Consider again the structure $\mathcal{N} \triangleq (\mathbb{N}, 0, S)$ in Handout 23.
The first-order theory of $\mathcal{N}$ is:

$$\text{Th}(\mathcal{N}) \triangleq \{ \varphi \mid \varphi \text{ is a first-order sentence s.t. } \mathcal{N} \models \varphi \}$$

Some sentences that are true in $\mathcal{N}$:

S1 $\forall x \, \neg(Sx \doteq 0)$

S2 $\forall x \, \forall y \, (Sx \doteq Sy \to x \doteq y)$

S3 $\forall y \, (\neg(y \doteq 0) \to \exists x \, (y \doteq Sx))$

S4.1 $\forall x \, \neg(Sx \doteq x)$

S4.2 $\forall x \, \neg(SSx \doteq x)$

$\ldots$

S4.n $\forall x \, \neg(\underbrace{S \cdots S}_{n} x \doteq x)$

$\ldots$

the first-order theory of $\mathcal{N} \triangleq (\mathbb{N}, 0, S)$

- let $\Gamma = \{\text{S1},\ \text{S2},\ \text{S3},\ \text{S4.1},\ \text{S4.2},\ \text{S4.3}, \ldots\}$
- clearly $\mathcal{N} \models \varphi$ for every $\varphi \in \Gamma$

  so that $\Gamma \subseteq \text{Th}(\mathcal{N})$

the first-order theory of $\mathcal{N} \triangleq (\mathbb{N}, 0, S)$

- let $\Gamma = \{$S1, S2, S3, S4.1, S4.2, S4.3, $\ldots\}$
- clearly $\mathcal{N} \models \varphi$ for every $\varphi \in \Gamma$
  so that $\Gamma \subseteq$ Th$(\mathcal{N})$

- what can we say about the deductive closure
  $\overline{\Gamma} = \{\, \varphi \mid \varphi$ first-order sentence s.t. $\Gamma \vdash \varphi \,\}$?

the first-order theory of $\mathcal{N} \triangleq (\mathbb{N}, 0, S)$

- let $\Gamma = \{$S1, S2, S3, S4.1, S4.2, S4.3, $\ldots\}$
- clearly $\mathcal{N} \models \varphi$ for every $\varphi \in \Gamma$
  so that $\Gamma \subseteq \text{Th}(\mathcal{N})$

- what can we say about the deductive closure
  $\overline{\Gamma} = \{\, \varphi \mid \varphi$ first-order sentence s.t. $\Gamma \vdash \varphi \,\}$?

- certainly $\overline{\Gamma} \subseteq \text{Th}(\mathcal{N})$, by soundness
- in fact, the equality holds:

$$\overline{\Gamma} \;=\; \text{Th}(\mathcal{N})$$ *(not shown here)*

the first-order theory of $\mathcal{N} \triangleq (\mathbb{N}, 0, S)$

- let $\Gamma = \{\text{S1},\ \text{S2},\ \text{S3},\ \text{S4.1},\ \text{S4.2},\ \text{S4.3}, \ldots\}$
- clearly $\mathcal{N} \models \varphi$ for every $\varphi \in \Gamma$

  so that $\Gamma \subseteq \text{Th}(\mathcal{N})$

- what can we say about the deductive closure
  $\overline{\Gamma} = \{\, \varphi \mid \varphi$ first-order sentence s.t. $\Gamma \vdash \varphi \,\}$?

- certainly $\overline{\Gamma} \subseteq \text{Th}(\mathcal{N})$, by soundness
- in fact, the equality holds:

$$\overline{\Gamma} \ = \ \text{Th}(\mathcal{N})$$

(not shown here)

- we therefore say that Γ is an **axiomatization** of $\text{Th}(\mathcal{N})$ because
  every sentence φ made true by $\mathcal{N}$ is formally deduced from Γ

first-order theories of several structures over domain $\mathbb{N}$

From Handout 23:

$$\mathcal{N} \triangleq (\mathbb{N}, 0, S), \quad \mathcal{N}_1 \triangleq (\mathbb{N}, 0, S, <), \quad \mathcal{N}_2 \triangleq (\mathbb{N}, 0, S, <, +)$$

$$\mathcal{N}_3 \triangleq (\mathbb{N}, 0, S, <, +, \cdot)$$

$$\mathcal{N}_4 \triangleq (\mathbb{N}, 0, S, <, +, \cdot, \mathsf{pr}) \qquad \text{where } \mathsf{pr}(x) \triangleq \text{true iff } x \text{ is prime}$$

$$\mathcal{N}_5 \triangleq (\mathbb{N}, 0, S, <, +, \cdot, \mathsf{pr}, \uparrow) \qquad \text{where } x \uparrow y \triangleq x^y$$

1. **FACT**
   The first-order theory of each of $\mathcal{N}$, $\mathcal{N}_1$, and $\mathcal{N}_2$, is **axiomatizable** and **decidable**.

first-order theories of several structures over domain $\mathbb{N}$

From Handout 23:

$$\mathcal{N} \triangleq (\mathbb{N}, 0, S), \quad \mathcal{N}_1 \triangleq (\mathbb{N}, 0, S, <), \quad \mathcal{N}_2 \triangleq (\mathbb{N}, 0, S, <, +)$$

$$\mathcal{N}_3 \triangleq (\mathbb{N}, 0, S, <, +, \cdot)$$

$$\mathcal{N}_4 \triangleq (\mathbb{N}, 0, S, <, +, \cdot, \mathsf{pr}) \qquad \text{where } \mathsf{pr}(x) \triangleq \text{true iff } x \text{ is prime}$$

$$\mathcal{N}_5 \triangleq (\mathbb{N}, 0, S, <, +, \cdot, \mathsf{pr}, \uparrow) \qquad \text{where } x \uparrow y \triangleq x^y$$

1. **FACT**
   The first-order theory of each of $\mathcal{N}$, $\mathcal{N}_1$, and $\mathcal{N}_2$, is **axiomatizable** and **decidable**.

2. **FACT**
   The first-order theory of each of $\mathcal{N}_3$, $\mathcal{N}_4$, and $\mathcal{N}_5$, is **axiomatizable** but **not** decidable.