CS 512, Spring 2017, Handout 33 Second Order Logic (with several examples in formal modeling)

Assaf Kfoury

26 April 2017

Assaf Kfoury, CS 512, Spring 2017, Handout 33

- examples with graphs (A, R)
- where A is the set of nodes and R is a binary relation representing edges
 - "A Hamiltonian path is a path that visits every node exactly once"

where A is the set of nodes and R is a binary relation representing edges

"A Hamiltonian path is a path that visits every node exactly once"

$$\varphi \triangleq \exists P \Big({}^{e}P \text{ is a linear order} \land \forall x \forall y ({}^{e}y = x + 1{}^{n} \rightarrow R(x, y)) \Big)$$

where A is the set of nodes and R is a binary relation representing edges

"A Hamiltonian path is a path that visits every node exactly once"

$$\varphi \triangleq \exists P \Big({}^{"}P \text{ is a linear order"} \land \forall x \forall y \left({}^{"}y = x + 1" \to R(x, y) \right) \Big)$$

$$\varphi \triangleq \exists P \Big(\psi_1(P) \land \forall x \forall y (\psi_2(P, x, y) \to R(x, y)) \Big)$$

where A is the set of nodes and R is a binary relation representing edges

"A Hamiltonian path is a path that visits every node exactly once"

$$\varphi \triangleq \exists P \Big(``P \text{ is a linear order}'' \land \forall x \forall y (``y = x + 1" \to R(x, y)) \Big)$$
$$\varphi \triangleq \exists P \Big(\psi_1(P) \land \forall x \forall y (\psi_2(P, x, y) \to R(x, y)) \Big)$$

 $\psi_1(P)$ makes predicate-variable P a linear order:

$$\begin{split} \psi_1(P) &\triangleq \forall x \, P(x, x) \land & \text{reflexivity} \\ &\forall x \forall y \forall z \left(P(x, y) \land P(y, z) \to P(x, z) \right) \land & \text{transitivity} \\ &\forall x \forall y \left(P(x, y) \land P(y, x) \to x \doteq y \right) \land & \text{anti-symmetry} \\ &\forall x \forall y \left(P(x, y) \lor P(y, x) \right) & \text{totality} \end{split}$$

where A is the set of nodes and R is a binary relation representing edges

"A Hamiltonian path is a path that visits every node exactly once"

$$\varphi \triangleq \exists P \Big(``P \text{ is a linear order}'' \land \forall x \forall y (``y = x + 1" \to R(x, y)) \Big)$$
$$\varphi \triangleq \exists P \Big(\psi_1(P) \land \forall x \forall y (\psi_2(P, x, y) \to R(x, y)) \Big)$$

 $\psi_1(P)$ makes predicate-variable P a linear order:

$$\begin{split} \psi_1(P) &\triangleq \forall x \, P(x, x) \land & \text{reflexivity} \\ &\forall x \forall y \forall z \left(P(x, y) \land P(y, z) \to P(x, z) \right) \land & \text{transitivity} \\ &\forall x \forall y \left(P(x, y) \land P(y, x) \to x \doteq y \right) \land & \text{anti-symmetry} \\ &\forall x \forall y \left(P(x, y) \lor P(y, x) \right) & \text{totality} \end{split}$$

 $\psi_2(P, x, y)$ is a WFF with free predicate-variable *P* of arity 2 and first-order variables *x* and *y*, which makes *y* the successor of *x* in the linear order *P*:

$$\psi_2(P, x, y) \triangleq \neg(x \doteq y) \land P(x, y) \land \forall z \left(P(x, z) \land P(z, y) \to (x \doteq z \lor y \doteq z) \right)$$

where A is the set of nodes and R is a binary relation representing edges

2-colorability:

represent color 1 by unary predicate P, and color 2 by $\neg P$

where A is the set of nodes and R is a binary relation representing edges

2-colorability:

represent color 1 by unary predicate P, and color 2 by $\neg P$

$$\varphi \triangleq \exists P \forall x \forall y \Big(\neg (x \doteq y) \land R(x, y) \to (P(x) \leftrightarrow \neg P(y)) \Big)$$

where A is the set of nodes and R is a binary relation representing edges

► 3-colorability:

represent 3 colors by unary predicate variables A_1, A_2 , and A_3

where A is the set of nodes and R is a binary relation representing edges

► 3-colorability:

represent 3 colors by unary predicate variables A_1 , A_2 , and A_3

• ψ_1 says "each node has exactly one color":

$$\psi_{1}(A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}) \triangleq \forall x \left(\begin{array}{c} \left(\begin{array}{c} A_{1}(x) \\ \neg A_{2}(x) \\ \neg A_{3}(x) \end{array} \right) \lor \\ \left(\neg A_{1}(x) \\ \wedge \begin{array}{c} A_{2}(x) \\ \neg A_{3}(x) \end{array} \right) \lor \\ \left(\neg A_{1}(x) \\ \wedge \begin{array}{c} \neg A_{2}(x) \\ \neg A_{3}(x) \end{array} \right) \end{array} \right)$$

where A is the set of nodes and R is a binary relation representing edges

► 3-colorability:

represent 3 colors by unary predicate variables A_1, A_2 , and A_3

• ψ_1 says "each node has exactly one color":

$$\psi_{1}(A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}) \triangleq \forall x \left(\left(\begin{array}{c} A_{1}(x) \land \neg A_{2}(x) \land \neg A_{3}(x) \right) \lor \\ \left(\neg A_{1}(x) \land \begin{array}{c} A_{2}(x) \land \neg A_{3}(x) \right) \lor \\ \left(\neg A_{1}(x) \land \neg A_{2}(x) \land \begin{array}{c} A_{3}(x) \end{array} \right) \end{array} \right)$$

• ψ_2 says "no two points with the same color are connected":

$$\psi_{2}(A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}) \triangleq \forall x \forall y \left(\left(A_{1}(x) \land A_{1}(y) \to \neg R(x, y) \right) \land \left(A_{2}(x) \land A_{2}(y) \to \neg R(x, y) \right) \land \left(A_{3}(x) \land A_{3}(y) \to \neg R(x, y) \right) \right)$$

where A is the set of nodes and R is a binary relation representing edges

3-colorability:

represent 3 colors by unary predicate variables A_1, A_2 , and A_3

• ψ_1 says "each node has exactly one color":

$$\psi_{1}(A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}) \triangleq \forall x \left(\left(\begin{array}{c} A_{1}(x) \land \neg A_{2}(x) \land \neg A_{3}(x) \right) \lor \\ \left(\neg A_{1}(x) \land \begin{array}{c} A_{2}(x) \land \neg A_{3}(x) \right) \lor \\ \left(\neg A_{1}(x) \land \neg A_{2}(x) \land \begin{array}{c} A_{3}(x) \end{array} \right) \end{array} \right)$$

• ψ_2 says "no two points with the same color are connected":

$$\psi_{2}(A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}) \triangleq \forall x \forall y \left(\left(A_{1}(x) \land A_{1}(y) \to \neg R(x, y) \right) \land \left(A_{2}(x) \land A_{2}(y) \to \neg R(x, y) \right) \land \left(A_{3}(x) \land A_{3}(y) \to \neg R(x, y) \right) \right)$$

$$\blacktriangleright \varphi \triangleq \exists A_1 \exists A_2 \exists A_3 (\psi_1 \land \psi_2)$$

where A is the set of nodes and R is a binary relation representing edges

unconnectedness

where A is the set of nodes and R is a binary relation representing edges

unconnectedness

• ψ_1 says "the set A is non-empty and its complement is nonempty"

$$\psi_1(A) \triangleq \exists x \exists y (A(x) \land \neg A(y))$$

where A is the set of nodes and R is a binary relation representing edges

unconnectedness

• ψ_1 says "the set A is non-empty and its complement is nonempty"

$$\psi_1(A) \triangleq \exists x \exists y (A(x) \land \neg A(y))$$

• ψ_2 says "there is no edge between A and its complement"

$$\psi_2(A) \triangleq \forall x \forall y \left(\left(A(x) \land \neg A(y) \right) \to \left(\neg R(x, y) \land \neg R(y, x) \right) \right)$$

where A is the set of nodes and R is a binary relation representing edges

unconnectedness

• ψ_1 says "the set A is non-empty and its complement is nonempty"

$$\psi_1(A) \triangleq \exists x \exists y (A(x) \land \neg A(y))$$

▶ ψ_2 says "there is no edge between A and its complement"

$$\psi_2(A) \triangleq \forall x \forall y \left(\left(A(x) \land \neg A(y) \right) \to \left(\neg R(x, y) \land \neg R(y, x) \right) \right)$$

► $\varphi \triangleq \exists A (\psi_1 \land \psi_2)$ is true iff graph **is not connected**

where A is the set of nodes and R is a binary relation representing edges

unconnectedness

• ψ_1 says "the set A is non-empty and its complement is nonempty"

$$\psi_1(A) \triangleq \exists x \exists y (A(x) \land \neg A(y))$$

• ψ_2 says "there is no edge between A and its complement"

$$\psi_2(A) \triangleq \forall x \forall y \left(\left(A(x) \land \neg A(y) \right) \rightarrow \left(\neg R(x, y) \land \neg R(y, x) \right) \right)$$

► $\varphi \triangleq \exists A (\psi_1 \land \psi_2)$ is true iff graph **is not connected**

•
$$\varphi' \triangleq \neg \varphi \triangleq \forall A (\neg \psi_1 \lor \neg \psi_2) \triangleq \forall A (\psi_1 \to \neg \psi_2)$$

is true iff graph **is connected**

where A is the set of nodes and R is a binary relation representing edges

reachability

Example 2.27 in [LCS. page 140].

connections with descriptive complexity theory

Starting point:

Syntactic classification of second-order WFF's in prenex normal form , over a given signature Σ , according to:

- 1. interleaving of universal and existential quantifiers in the prenex, and
- 2. arities of predicate and function symbols in Σ .

Example:

The WFF φ in each on slide 4, slide 7, slide 11, and slide 16 is an existential second-order WFF.

Example:

The φ in each of slide 7, slide 11, and slide 16, but not on slide 4, is a monadic second-order WFF, because the second-order variables in φ are restricted to be unary-predicate (*i.e.*, set) variables.

Example:

Monadic second-order logic has been extensively studied in relation to graph properties and their complexities. (Search the WWW with the keyword "monadic second-order logic.")

connections with *descriptive complexity theory*

Prototypical result of descriptive complexity theory:

Fagin's theorem: Let C be the class of all finite undirected graphs (closed under isomorphism). The following are equivalent statements:

- 1. C is in NP.
- 2. C is definable by an existential second-order sentence.

In fact, every class of objects in NP has an existential second-order characterization with binary predicates and a universal first-order part.