

Lecture 2: Semantics of Classical Propositional Logic

January 24, 2014

Qi Wang

(These lecture notes are **not** proofread and proof-checked by the instructor.)

General Information:

- Lecture notes (by scribes) are not proof-checked (by me). They are a service from the from the scribe to the rest of class.
- Information about the website:
 1. *To Go Deeper*: for interest, not responsible. Get to know SAT/SMT solver through this class (for term project). Model checker is for the following class. Interactive theorem is also important.
 2. *LaTeX*: useful source, e.g. Latex templates.
- Functional Language:
 1. Scheme, LISP
 2. ML, Haskell (typed)
 3. Python (half-function)
- Curry-Howard correspondance: very powerful. Proof-as-programs, Formulas-as-types
- KB — knowledge base = premise

Review:

- Formal System:

Formal Syntax	
Formal Proof Theory	corresponding to soundness
Formal Semantics	corresponding to completeness
Applications	
- \wedge 1, 2: wedge introduction from Line 1, 2 in LaTeX.
 \wedge : and logical.
 There's elimination. e_1 : left elimination. e_2 : right elimination.
 $\neg\neg e$ can't be used in intuitionistic logic.
- Nature Deduction: introduce an assumption by opening a box(have to close it eventually).
- We can invent a rule that a box can have two temporary assumptions.

Classical Propositional Logic:

- The semantics (or formal semantics) of a formal logic L is sometimes called the model theory of L . The model theory of classical propositional logic is defined in terms of Boolean algebras. The standard boolean operations can be defined using truth tables.
- Logical operators and symbols:

Logical Operator/Symbol	English Name	LaTeX Command
\neg	negation	<code>\neg</code>
\wedge	and / conjunction	<code>\wedge</code>
\vee	or / disjunction	<code>\vee</code>
\oplus	exclusive or	<code>\oplus</code>
\rightarrow	conditional / implication	<code>\rightarrow</code>
\leftrightarrow	biconditional / double implication	<code>\leftrightarrow</code>
\top	tautology / verum	<code>\top</code>
\perp	contradiction / falsum	<code>\perp</code>
\vdash	turnstile	<code>\vdash</code>
\models	semantic model	<code>\models</code>

- Propositional WFF ϕ is *satisfiable* if *there is* an assignment of truth-values to the propositional atoms which makes ϕ true.
Propositional WFF ϕ is *tautology* if *every* an assignment of truth-values to the propositional atoms which makes ϕ true.

- Truth table allows you to deduce more validities.

- $\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n \models \psi$ say " $\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n$ semantically entails ψ " or "every model of $\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n$ is a model of ψ ".

- Theorem:

1. Soundness:

$$\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n \vdash \psi, \quad \phi_1, \dots, \phi_n \models \psi$$

If you can prove it, then it is true.

2. Completeness:

$$\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n \models \psi, \quad \phi_1, \dots, \phi_n \vdash \psi$$

If it is true, you can prove it

3. For understanding:

$$\phi \text{ tautology} \models \phi$$

$$\phi \text{ logical valid} \vdash \phi$$

$$\vdash \phi \text{ iff } \models \phi$$

- Minimum requirement: be soundness. Everything if you can prove it must be true.

- Examples of classical tautologies that are also intuitionistic tautologies.

However, examples of classical tautologies that are not intuitionistic tautologies. Classical tautologies have to use three forbidden rules.

- Universal WFFs includes tautologies. Tautologies include formally deducible. Soundness is the proof that doesn't go beyond the tautologies. (E.g.. NP-Completeness we can't prove.)

Reference:

1. <http://www.cs.bu.edu/faculty/kfoury/UNI-Teaching/CS512-Spring17/Lecture/HD03.propositional-logic-semantics.pdf>
2. <http://www.cs.bu.edu/faculty/kfoury/UNI-Teaching/CS512-Spring17/Lecture/HD04.classical-vs-intuitionistic-propositional-logic.pdf>
3. <http://www.cs.bu.edu/faculty/kfoury/UNI-Teaching/CS512-Spring17/index.html> scribe template