

# An Abundance of Tools for Automated and Semi-Automated Formal Reasoning

Assaf Kfoury

January 23, 2017

There is a profusion of software *packages* (or *tools*) that have been developed, and constantly improved, to assist computer scientists in the tasks of *formal* (or *logical*) reasoning. Each of these tools is designed for a specific purpose, *i.e.*, to assist in tackling a specific class of problems. Such a class of problems may be more “generic” than another, in the sense that it covers a wider area of potential applications, so that a tool designed to tackle them is necessarily less domain-specific and more general.

A tool for automated or semi-automated reasoning involves a *modeling language* (a formal language), suitable for expressing properties of a system (software or hardware) and for specifying its behavior, together with an *underlying logic* (a formal logic) to reason about system properties that are thus expressed.

Depending on the modeling language and underlying logic, these tools are variedly called *SAT solvers*, *SMT solvers*, *QBF solvers*, *model checkers*, *probabilistic model checkers*, *proof assistants*, *theorem provers*, *interactive theorem provers*, and other names that are suggestive of what they do. The demarcation between them is not sharp; *e.g.*, every SMT solver is already a SAT solver and nearly every model checker is built on top of a SAT solver. They can be broadly divided into three groups:

1. For a partial list of **SAT/SMT solvers** and what they do, click here.

Other well-known SAT/SMT solvers not on the preceding list are:

Z3 (click here), Yices (click here), CVC4 (click here), and Alt-Ergo (click here).

For a SAT solver, the modeling language and underlying formal logic is that of propositional logic. For a SMT solver, the modeling language and underlying formal logic is a fragment of first-order logic extending propositional logic.

2. For a partial list of **model checkers** and what they do, click here.

Some of the better known model checkers are:<sup>1</sup>

SPIN (click here), “an open-source software verification tool, ... used for the formal verification of multi-threaded software applications”,

PRISM (click here), “a probabilistic model checker, a tool for formal modelling and analysis of systems that exhibit random or probabilistic behaviour”,

Alloy (click here), “a formal language for describing structures and a tool for exploring them”.

The modeling language of a model checker is often (not always) a customized version of an existing programming language. For example, the modeling language for SPIN is called Promela

---

<sup>1</sup>Or at least I am more familiar with them.

(click here), specially designed as a verification modeling language. The underlying logic of a model checker is typically a temporal logic (*e.g.*, LTL, CTL, etc.) or a modal logic (*e.g.*,  $\mu$ -calculus, TLA, etc.). A model checker is often implemented on top of a SAT solver (*e.g.*, the Alloy tool works by reduction to a SAT solver).

3. For a partial list of **interactive theorem provers/proof assistants** and what they do, click here. Among the best known are:

- Mizar (based on set theory),
- HOL (simple type theory),
- Isabelle (simple type theory),
- HOL light (simple type theory),
- Coq (constructive dependent type theory),
- ACL2 (primitive recursive arithmetic),
- PVS (classical dependent type theory).

The modeling language and underlying logic of an interactive theorem prover are typically those of a higher-order logic, which is most often (not always) typed and may involve powerful features such as *dependent types*.