# CS558. Network Security. Boston University, Computer Science. Midterm Spring 2012.

Instructor: Sharon Goldberg

March 29, 2012. 1-2:20 AM.

- One two-sided hand-written aid sheet allowed.
- Be specific and precise with your answers.
- Show your work. Answers without justification will be given little credit.
- Please clearly indicate which parts of your solution you want graded.
- You can use the back of each page as a scratch paper. We will only grade the work you do on the exam pages unless you specifically tell us to do otherwise.

#### Good luck!

#### Name: \_\_\_\_\_

| Problem | Grade |
|---------|-------|
| 1       | /8    |
| 2       | /4    |
| 3       | /6    |
| 4       | /6    |
| Bonus 5 | /3    |
| Total   | /24   |

## 1 Privacy

Problem 1. Consider the following algorithm (written in PINQ):

1. (4 points) You are given a privacy budget of 0.1. Does the algorithm exceed your privacy budget? Make sure to justify exactly how you arrived at your answer (else no partial credit can be given!)

Ans:

2. (2 points) Let the standard deviation of sickCountPerA be  $\sigma$ . Determine  $\sigma$ .

Ans:

3. (2 points) True or false? The standard deviation of percentSickPerA is  $2\sigma$ . Justify your response.

Ans:

**Problem 2. (4 points)** Here is an algorithm to compute the probability distribution function (PDF) of a dataset. (This pseudocode is not written in PINQ!).

```
// let 'data' be a list of integers taking on values in the set [0, 120]
// assume the fact that this data lies in the range [0, 120] is public knowledge.
var countPerI = new int[121];
for (int i = 0; i < 121; i++) {
    countPerI[i] = 0;
    if(data.Contains(i))
    {
        countPerI[i] = data.Where( x => x == i)
             .Count(); //This is not PINQ! It's just a plain noiseless count!
        countPerI[i] += Laplace(1/0.1); //We add Laplace noise here!
        countPerI[i] = countPerI[i] / data.Length();
    }
}
```

 $/\!/$  then some code that plots a bar graph of countPerI versus i

1. True or False? This algorithm is differentially-private.

Ans:

2. If you answer 'True', determine the privacy budget used up by this algorithm. If you answer 'False', prove that the algorithm is not differentially-private. **Problem 3.** The Intersect $(A_1, A_2, f_1, f_2)$  transformation takes two different datasets  $A_1, A_2$ , and key selection function for each dataset  $f_1(), f_2()$ . It returns a set of *distinct* records

 $\{x \mid x \in A_1 \text{ and } \exists y \in A_2 \text{ where } f_1(x) = f_2(y)\}$ 

1. (1 points) What is the output of  $\text{Intersect}(A_1, A_2, f_1, f_2)$  if  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are the identity function (*i.e.*,  $f_1(x) = x$  and  $f_2(x) = x$ ),  $A_1 = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 4, 5, 6\}$  and  $A_2 = \{4, 6, 7, 8, 8, 8, 8, 9\}$ .

Ans:

2. (3 points) This transformation is c-stable. Determine c, and justify your answer.

Ans:

3. (2 points) How does the stability of this transformation change if we use the same dataset in both inputs (*i.e.*, Intersect $(A_1, A_1, f_1, f_1)$ )?

Ans:

### 2 Basic Crypto

**Problem 4.** For load-balancing purposes, a large private dataset is split between two servers, A and B. The servers need to recombine the data so that server B can answer PINQ queries made by users. For each query made by a user, they do the following:

- Server B forwards the query to server A
- Server A sends the relevant portions of the dataset over to server B
- Server B combines its own dataset with the information sent over by A and produces the answer to the PINQ query
- Server B sends the answer to the user.

Suppose there is an adversary that can both (a) issue PINQ queries to server B and see the answers, and (b) sit on the network between A and B and observe and tamper with the messages that A sends to B.

- 1. (3 points) To protect the confidentiality of the dataset, should you use
  - CCA secure encryption, or
  - CPA secure encryption, or
  - a secure MAC

on the messages A sends to B? Justify your response.

Ans:

- 2. (3 points) Suppose there is a user C who issues PINQ queries to B. Suppose our adversary has the additional evil goal of wanting user C to get an incorrect answer to his PINQ queries. What tool should we use to prevent this?
  - CCA secure encryption, or
  - CPA secure encryption, or
  - a secure MAC.

Justify your response.

Ans:

### Bonus privacy question. + 12.5%

#### Problem 5. (Bonus! +12.5%.)

Consider applying k-anonymity to a graph G, where we think of the degree<sup>1</sup> of a node is its quasi-identifier. Our anonymization algorithm is as follows:

*k*-Anonymization. We add and delete edges from G to create a modified graph  $G^{\perp}$ , ensuring that, for every node n in  $G^{\perp}$ , there are at least k-1 other nodes with the same degree as node n in  $G^{\perp}$ . We then release  $G^{\perp}$ .

Show that this technique fails to anonymize a node's *betweeness* in the graph<sup>2</sup>. To do this, draw an example of a graph  $G^{\perp}$  that satisfies the notion of k-anonymity described above but still has a single node with a unique betweenness. (Hint. To avoid clutter, degree and betweenness are defined in the footnotes below!)

1. (1 points) Show an example for k = 3.

2. (2 points) Generalize your example so that it works for any k.

2. The betweeness of node n is  $\sum_{s,t\in V} \sigma_{s,t}$ .)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Recall that a node's degree is the number of edges incident on it.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Recall that the *betweenness* of node is a measure of its centrality in the graph. The betweenness of node n is given by the fraction of shortest paths in the graph that pass through node n, or more precisely:

<sup>1.</sup> For each pair of nodes  $s, t \in V$ , compute the shortest paths between s and t. Let  $\sigma_{s,t}$  be the fraction of these shortest paths that pass through node n.