

Combining Programming with Theorem Proving

Chiyan Chen and Hongwei Xi

Boston University

Motivation for the Research

- To support advanced type systems for practical programming, where
 - pure type inference (as is supported in ML) is no longer available, and
 - the programmer may have to construct proofs to validate type equality.

Type Equality

- The notion of type equality plays a pivotal rôle in type system design. However, the importance of this role is often less evident in commonly studied type systems. For instance,
 - The simply typed λ -calculus: two types are considered equal if and only if they are syntactically the same;
 - The second-order polymorphic λ -calculus: two types are considered equal if and only if they are α -equivalent;
 - The higher-order polymorphic λ -calculus: two types are considered equal if and only if they are $\beta\eta$ -equivalent.
- The situation immediately changes when dependent types come into the picture.

ATS

ATS is a programming language with a type system rooted in the framework *ATS*. In ATS, a variety of programming paradigms are supported in a typeful manner, including:

- Functional programming (available)
- Imperative programming with pointers (available)
- Object-oriented programming (available)
- Modular programming (available)
- Meta-programming (via backquote/comma notation) (available)
- Assembly programming (under development)

Current Status of ATS

- The current implementation of ATS is done in O'Caml, including a type-checker, an interpreter and a compiler from ATS to C.
- The run-time system of ATS supports untagged native data representation (in addition to tagged data representation) and a conservative GC.
- The library of ATS is done in ATS itself, consisting of over 20k lines of code.

For more information, the current homepage of ATS is available at:

<http://www.cs.bu.edu/~hwxi/ATS>

A datatype declaration in ATS

```
datatype list (type, int) =  
  | {a:type} nil (a, 0)  
  | {a:type, n:int | n >= 0}  
    cons (a, n+1) of (a, list (a, n))
```

The meaning of the concrete syntax is given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathit{nil} & : \forall a : \mathit{type}. \mathit{list}(a, 0) \\ \mathit{cons} & : \forall a : \mathit{type}. \forall n : \mathit{int}. \\ & \quad n \geq 0 \supset ((a, \mathit{list}(a, n)) \Rightarrow \mathit{list}(a, n + 1)) \end{aligned}$$

Some function declarations in ATS (1)

```
fun tail_safe {a:type, n:int | n > 0}
  (xs: list (a, n)): list (a, n-1) =
  // [case*]: exhaustive pattern matching
  case* xs of _ :: xs' => xs'
```

```
exception Subscript
```

```
fun head {a:type, n:int | n >= 0}
  (xs: list (a, n)): [n > 0] a =
  case* xs of
  | x :: _ => x
  | '[] => raise Subscript
```

Some function declarations in ATS (2)

The types of the two previously defined functions can be formally written as follows:

$tail_safe$: $\forall a : type. \forall n : int.$

$n > 0 \supset (list(a, n) \rightarrow list(a, n - 1))$

$head$: $\forall a : type. \forall n : int.$

$n \geq 0 \supset (list(a, n) \rightarrow n > 0 \wedge list(a, n - 1))$

An illustrative example

Suppose that we define the following function to compute the tail of a given (possibly empty) list:

```
fun tail {a:type, n:int | n >= 0}
  (xs: list (a, n)): [n > 0] list (a, n-1) =
  let
    val _ = head (xs)
    // [n > 0] is established here!
  in
    tail_safe (xs)
  end
```

We see that *head* acts like a proof function showing that a given list is not empty.

An illustrative example (contd)

- If *head* were a total function, namely, a function that is pure and terminating, then there would really be no need to execute the code *head(xs)*.
- Of course, *head* is not a total function, and therefore the code *head(xs)* cannot be erased.
- However, the need to distinguish total proof functions from program functions that may not be total is made clear in this case.

Applied Type System (ATS)

- *ATS* is a recently developed framework to facilitate the design and formalization of (advanced) type systems in support of practical programming.
- The name *applied type system* refers to a type system formed in *ATS*, which consists of two components:
 - a static component (statics), where types are formed and reasoned about, and
 - a dynamic component (dynamics), where programs are constructed and evaluated.
- The key salient feature of *ATS*: statics is completely separate from dynamics. In particular, types **cannot** depend on programs.

Examples of applied type systems:

- The simply-typed λ -calculus
- The second-order polymorphic λ -calculus (System F)
- The higher-order polymorphic λ -calculus (System F_ω)
- Dependent ML (DML)
- The second-order polymorphic λ -calculus with guarded recursive types (impredicative formulation)

Non-Examples of applied type systems:

- The dependent λ -calculus (λP)
- The calculus of constructions (λC)

Syntax for statics

- The statics is a simply typed language and a type in the statics is referred to as a *sort*. We write b for a base sort and assume the existence of two special base sorts *type* and *bool*.

sorts $\sigma ::= b \mid \sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_2$

c-sorts $\sigma_c ::= (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n) \Rightarrow \sigma$

sta. terms $s ::= a \mid sc(s_1, \dots, s_n) \mid \lambda a : \sigma. s \mid s_1(s_2)$

sta. var. ctx. $\Sigma ::= \emptyset \mid \Sigma, a : \sigma$

- In practice, we also have base sorts *int* and *addr* for integers and addresses (or locations), respectively. Let us use B , I , L and T for static terms of sorts *bool*, *int*, *addr* and *type*, respectively.

Some static constants

$\mathbf{1}$:	$() \Rightarrow type$
$true$:	$() \Rightarrow bool$
$false$:	$() \Rightarrow bool$
\rightarrow	:	$(type, type) \Rightarrow type$
\supset	:	$(bool, type) \Rightarrow type$
\wedge	:	$(bool, type) \Rightarrow type$
\leq	:	$(type, type) \Rightarrow bool$ (impredicative formulation)

Also, for each sort σ , we assume that the two static constructors \forall_σ and \exists_σ are assigned the sc-sort $(\sigma \rightarrow type) \Rightarrow type$.

Constraint relation

A constraint relation is of the following form:

$$\Sigma; \vec{B} \models B$$

where \vec{B} stands for a sequence of static boolean terms (often referred to as assumptions).

Some (unfamiliar) forms of types

- Asserting type: $B \wedge T$
- Guarded type: $B \supset T$

Here is an example involving both guarded and asserting types:

$$\forall a : \text{int}. a \geq 0 \supset (\mathbf{int}(a) \rightarrow \exists a' : \text{int}. (a' < 0) \wedge \mathbf{int}(a'))$$

This type can be assigned to a function from nonnegative integers to negative integers.

Syntax for dynamics

dyn. terms	$d ::= x \mid dc(d_1, \dots, d_n) \mid$ $\mathbf{lam} \ x.d \mid \mathbf{app}(d_1, d_2) \mid$ $\supset^+(v) \mid \supset^-(d) \mid$ $\forall^+(v) \mid \forall^-(d) \mid$ $\wedge(d) \mid \mathbf{let} \ \wedge(x) = d_1 \ \mathbf{in} \ d_2 \mid$ $\exists(d) \mid \mathbf{let} \ \exists(x) = d_1 \ \mathbf{in} \ d_2$
values	$v ::= x \mid dcc(v_1, \dots, v_n) \mid \mathbf{lam} \ x.d \mid$ $\supset^+(v) \mid \forall^+(v) \mid \wedge(v) \mid \exists(v)$
dyn. var. ctx.	$\Delta ::= \emptyset \mid \Delta, x : s$

Typing judgment

A typing judgment is of the following form:

$$\Sigma; \vec{B}; \Delta \vdash d : T$$

A function declaration in ATS

```
fun concat {a:type, m:nat, n:nat}
  (xss: list (list (a, m), n))
  : list (a, m*n) =
case xss of
| nil () => nil
| cons (xs, xss) =>
  append (xs, concat xss)
```

Unfortunately, this piece of code currently **cannot** pass type-checking in ATS because non-linear constraints on integers are involved.

Programming with theorem proving

- We introduce a new sort *prop* into the statics and use P for static terms of sort *prop*, which are often referred to as props.
- A prop is like a type, which is intended to be assigned to special dynamic terms that we refer to as proof terms.
- A proof term is required to be pure and total, and it is to be erased before program execution. In particular, we do not extract programs out of proofs.

A dataprop declaration in ATS

```
dataprop MUL (int, int, int) =  
  | {n:int} MULbas (0, n, 0)  
  | {m:nat, n:int, p:int}  
    MULind (m+1, n, p+n) of MUL (m, n, p)  
  | {m:pos, n:int, p:int}  
    MULneg (~m, n, ~p) of MUL (m, n, p)
```

The concrete syntax means the following:

$$\begin{aligned}0 * n &= 0 \\(m + 1) * n &= m * n + n \\(-m) * n &= -(m * n)\end{aligned}$$

A proof function declaration in ATS

```
prfun lemma {m:nat, n:nat, p:int} .<m>.
  (pf: MUL (m, n, p)): [p >= 0] prunit =
  case* pf of
  | MULbas () => '()
  | MULind pf' =>
    let prval _ = lemma pf' in '() end
```

The proof function proves:

$$\forall m : nat. \forall n : nat. \forall p : int. \mathbf{MUL}(m, n, p) \rightarrow (p \geq 0) \wedge \mathbf{1}$$

We need to verify that *lemma* is a total function:

- $\langle m \rangle$ is a termination metric.
- *case** requires pattern matching to be exhaustive.

An example of programming with theorem proving

```
fun concat {a:type, m:nat, n:nat}
  (xss: list (list (a, n), m))
  : [p:nat] '(MUL (m, n, p) | list (a, p)) =
case xss of
| nil () => '(MULbas | nil)
| cons (xs, xss) =>
  let val '(pf | res) = concat xss in
    '(MULind pf | append (xs, res))
end
```

Remark Proofs are completely erased before program execution. In other words, there is no proof construction at run-time.

Related work

Here is only a fraction:

- Theorem proving systems: NuPrl, Coq, ...
- (Meta) Logical Frameworks: Twelf, ...
- Functional Languages: Delphin, Omega, Vera, ...
- Dependently Typed Functional Languages: Cayenne, Dependent ML, Epigram, RSP1, ...

Conclusion and future directions

- We have outlined a design to support programming with theorem proving.
- In addition, we have carried out this design in the programming language ATS.
- This is highly flexible design and we are currently also keen to formally support reasoning on properties such as memory allocation/deallocation, deadlocks, race conditions, etc.
- Along such directions, linear proofs (in addition to intuitionistic proofs) are to be constructed and manipulated. More details about ATS can be found at:

<http://www.cs.bu.edu/~hwxi/ATS>

The end of the talk

Thank you!