

Xin Qi, Gabriel Parmer and Richard West







- General-purpose systems provide a general set of abstractions that allow for a good combination of
 - Fairness between processes
 - Simple abstraction of the base hardware to all application processes
- With generality, fine grained control is sacrificed
 - Copying of data via kernel for network stack
 - Networking core cannot be easily extended with new protocols
- High performance applications may demand more than these generic abstractions can provide

Contributions



- High performance networking using "user-level sandboxing"
 - Zero copy
 - Eliminates scheduling overheads
- Safe abstraction
 - Kernel controls access rights to user-level sandbox services
 - Regulated access to I/O devices is guaranteed
- Example usages:
 - Efficient middleware routing of high bandwidth/low latency data streams
 - A proxy server handing remote procedure calls





- Provides user-level environment for the execution of service extensions
- Separate kernel from app-specific code
- Use only page-level hardware protection
 - Approach does not require specific hardware protection features, such as segmentation and tagged TLBs
- Extension code only activated by the kernel via upcalls
- Sandbox extensions can be executed in the context of any process to avoid scheduling overheads

Hardware Support for Memorysafe Extensions









- Modify address spaces of all processes to contain one or more shared pages of virtual addresses
 - Shared pages used for sandbox
 - Normally inaccessible at user-level
 - Kernel upcalls toggle sandbox page protection bits & perform TLB invalidation on corresponding page(s)
- Current x86 approach
 - 2x4MB superpages
 - Modified dietlibc supports most normal functionality
 - ELF loader to map code into sandbox
 - Support sandboxed threads that can block on syscalls

Hardware Support for Memorysafe Extensions





Invoking Sandbox Extensions



- Fast Upcalls
 - Leverage SYSEXIT/SYSENTER on x86
 - Support Traditional IRET approach also
- Kernel Events
 - Generic interface supports delivery of events to specific extensions
 - Each extension has its own stack & thread structure
 - Events can be queued -- like POSIX.4 (real time) signals





- Issues involved in building a high performance customizable user-level networking stack:
 - Memory Management
 - A slab allocator that has a-priori knowledge of objects such as packet descriptors
 - Kernel Bypassing
 - An abstraction for passing control to an extension for interrupt time (asynchronous) processing
 - NIC interaction
 - Support DMA transfers of packet data to / from sandboxed extension code





- UML (User Mode Linux) used as the basis for network service extensions, by providing:
 - memory allocation
 - a modular device interface
 - a fully functional, modular networking stack
- A well defined set of communication channels between kernel and sandbox to pass memory location for packet arrival and transmission
 - High speed DMA to user level is only possible because sandbox exists in every process virtual address space





- Demultiplexing packets
 - Some technologies rely on programmable NICs which have a-priori knowledge of the destination of incoming packets
 - All incoming packets must still be allocated and transferred to sandbox area
 - A light-weight classifier can be written either in the kernel or sandbox
 - Sandbox networking scheme is not intended for efficient processing of *all* packets
 - We focus on efficient communication for sandbox extensions

User-level Networking --(Asynchronous Mode)



User Level



User-level Networking --(Synchronous Mode)



User Level







- Example customized service extension: Relay Socket
 - To bind a pair of sockets together
 - For efficient forwarding of packets at transport layer
- UDP Forwarding
 - Comparison of networking implementations
 - Transfer time jitter
- TCP Forwarding

Experiment Environment











- UML in user process vs. UML in sandbox
 - An improvement of 130% with no background threads
 - With more background threads, sandbox agent does not suffer scheduling delays and therefore maintains high throughput







- User-level vs. Kernel-level vs. Sandbox Networking
 - Sandbox networking is comparable to kernel approach with no background threads
 - Throughput remains constant irrespective of background threads







Average Jitter

Maximum Jitter

- Low jitter is important for QoS-constrained (e.g. multimedia) applications
- Near constant jitter is demonstrated by the sandboxed networking scheme
 - other two approaches show larger and more variable jitter as the number of background threads increases







- UML in user space vs. sandbox
 - Using *wget* to get 1GB file from Apache server via intermediate node
 - 30% improvements in throughput using SCHED_OTHER
 - Prioritizing the sandbox thread using SCHED_RR yields more than 50% higher throughput irrespective of background threads





Operation	Cost in CPU Cycles
Null Fast Upcall	1370
Sandbox Packet Processing time	6360
Kernel Packet Processing time	4800





- Efficient networking stack in a "user-level sandbox"
 - Higher throughput and lower jitter than traditional middleware services implemented in process-private address spaces
 - In many cases, our architecture enables user-level services to outperform equivalent kernel-based services that require scheduling
- User-level sandboxing scheme allows extension code to:
 - Safely and efficiently access lower-level abstractions (e.g., interrupt time execution, network hardware)
 - Execute without scheduling process-private address spaces
 - Easy to debug and implement new services.





- Type safe language support / software-based fault isolation
- Binary rewriting techniques to avoid patching host kernel for sandbox support