#### CS 112 – Introduction to Computing II

Wayne Snyder **Computer Science Department Boston University** 

Today:

Efficiency of binary trees;

**Balanced Trees** 

2-3 Trees

Next Time:

2-3 Trees continued

**B-Trees and External Search** 



**Computer Science** 



So far, we have seen that the best case for a BST is a perfect triangle, and the worst case is a linked list:





Of course it may not be possible to get a

perfect triangle, but we can always create a tree in which the leaves are always within two levels of each other:



Best case:  $\Theta(Log N)$ 

Worst case:  $\Theta(N)$ 

What happens on average?



What happens on average? The scenario would be modeled on our experiments with average case for sorting:

- Create 1000 random BSTs for each size N = 1, 2, 3, 4, .... 100 (or similar parameters) by creating a random array of size N and then inserting each key into an initially-empty tree;
- Find the average cost of lookups in each tree (sum of cost of each node / N);
- This simulates a situation where a random BST is created, then we repeatedly lookup keys (we could alternately do a random series of inserts, lookups, and deletes on a single tree and see what happens – results are similar).



Cost of paths: S: 1, E,X: 2, A,R: 3, C,R: 4

Sum: 19

Average Cost: 19/7 = 2.71



What happens on average? The scenario would be modeled on our experiments with average case for sorting:

- Create 1000 random BSTs for each size N = 1, 2, 3, 4, .... 100 (or similar parameters) by creating a random array of size N and then inserting each key into an initially-empty tree;
- Find the average cost of lookups in each tree (sum of cost of each node / N);
- This simulates a situation where a random BST is created, then we repeatedly lookup keys (we could alternately do a random series of inserts, lookups, and deletes on a single tree and see what happens – results are similar).



 $\Theta(\text{ Log N})$ 

You determined the constant C for the estimate ~(CN) in lab! Note that C was very small! This is an excellent result!



Average path length to a random node in a BST built from random keys





#### The next question is always: Can we do better?

Specifically, can we find a way to eliminate the worst case trees, and get **O(Log N) for all operations?** 

This amounts to the following problem: Can we restructure the tree during inserts and deletes to prevent imbalanced trees?

The answer, of course, is YES, and one solution to creating balanced trees is called 2-3 Trees....





**2-3 Trees** generalize binary search trees by allowing "wider" nodes that can contain 1 or 2 keys, and 2 or 3 pointers:





Generalizing the basic idea of binary search trees, we have "trinary search trees" where the two keys divide up the descendent nodes into three instead of two subtrees:





But we may consider normal BST nodes (1 key, 2 pointers) to be a special case, where the second key does not exist:





9

But we may consider normal BST nodes (1 key, 2 pointers) to be a special case, where the second key does not exist, and we will draw these as we would with normal BSTs:





Searching such a tree is a simple generalization of search in BSTs: at each node you scan from the left through the two keys and figure out where the search key k might be:







Insertion into a 2-3 tree is a little bit complicated, because we will want to maintain the trees in balanced form (perfect triangles):

A 2-3 tree is **balanced** if every path from the root to a leaf node has the same length; note that nodes may contain 2 keys and 3 pointers, or 1 key and 2 pointers:







1. As with BSTs, you search for the key; if you find it, do nothing (don't insert duplicates); if you don't find it, then insert into the leaf node that you last looked in. If there is room, you are done. Example: Let's insert a 12 into an empty tree; when you insert into an empty tree, you create a new node and insert into the  $K_1$  slot:







 As with BSTs, you search for the key; if you find it, do nothing (don't insert duplicates); if you don't find it, then insert into the leaf node that you last looked in. If there is room, you are done. Example: Let's insert a 12 into an empty tree; when you insert into an empty tree, you create a new node and insert into the  $K_1$  slot:



Now let's insert an 8, which can fit into the node if we move the 12 over:





- As with BSTs, you search for the key; if you find it, do nothing (don't insert duplicates); if you don't find it, then insert into the leaf node that you last looked in. If there is room, you are done.
- But if there are already 2 keys, then insert into the node anyway, creating an "error node" containing 3 keys (too many!).

Example: Let's insert a 12 into an empty tree; when you insert into an empty tree, you create a new node and insert into the K<sub>1</sub> slot:



Now let's insert an 8, which can fit into the node if we move the 12 over:



Next let's insert a 15, which expands the node into an *error node* containing too many keys:

·		
0	10	
• <b>O</b>	12	



## Rules for inserting a new key into a 2-3 tree:

- As with BSTs, you search for the key; if you find it, do nothing (don't insert duplicates); if you don't find it, then insert into the leaf node that you last looked in. If there is room, stop.
- 2. But if there are already 2 keys, then insert into the node anyway, creating an "error node" containing 3 keys (too many!). Then apply the  $\alpha$ -transformation to change this into a legal configuration of three nodes.

Next let's insert a 15, which expands the node into an error node containing too many keys:

(		1		
í <b>(</b>		10	4 5	
5	5 1	12 1	15	
1	1	. – 1		

Immediately fix this error by transforming this node into a balanced three-node tree:







#### α-transformation:



#### The subtrees A – D may be null!



## Rules for inserting a new key into a 2-3 tree:

- As with BSTs, you search for the key; if you find it, do nothing (don't insert duplicates); if you don't find it, then insert into the leaf node that you last looked in. If there is room, stop.
- 2. But if there are already 2 keys, then insert into the node anyway, creating an "error node" containing 3 keys (too many!). Then apply the  $\alpha$ -transformation to change this into a legal configuration of three nodes.

Immediately fix this error by transforming this node into a balanced three-node tree:



Next let's insert a 20, which expands the right-most leaf node:





## Rules for inserting a new key into a 2-3 tree:

- As with BSTs, you search for the key; if you find it, do nothing (don't insert duplicates); if you don't find it, then insert into the leaf node that you last looked in. If there is room, stop.
- 2. But if there are already 2 keys, then insert into the node anyway, creating an "error node" containing 3 keys (too many!). Then apply the  $\alpha$ -transformation to change this into a legal configuration of three nodes.

Next let's insert a 20, which expands the right-most leaf node:



Then let's insert a 30, which creates another error node:





- As with BSTs, you search for the key; if you find it, do nothing (don't insert duplicates); if you don't find it, then insert into the leaf node that you last looked in. If there is room, stop.
- 2. But if there are already 2 keys, then insert into the node anyway, creating an "error node" containing 3 keys (too many!). Then apply the  $\alpha$ -transformation to change this into a legal configuration of three nodes.

Then let's insert a 30, which creates another error node:



But we immediately fix the error by using the  $\alpha$ -transformation:





- As with BSTs, you search for the key; if you find it, do nothing (don't insert duplicates); if you don't find it, then insert into the leaf node that you last looked in. If there is room, stop.
- 2. But if there are already 2 keys, then insert into the node anyway, creating an "error node" containing 3 keys (too many!). Then apply the  $\alpha$ -transformation to change this into a legal configuration of three nodes.
- 3. After applying the  $\alpha$ -transformation, if there is a parent node, then we must apply the  $\beta$ -transformation to fix the imbalance created by the  $\alpha$ -transformation.

But we immediately fix the error by using the  $\alpha$ -transformation:



But this is imbalanced, so we will combine the root of the new subtree with the parent





- As with BSTs, you search for the key; if you find it, do nothing (don't insert duplicates); if you don't find it, then insert into the leaf node that you last looked in. If there is room, stop.
- 2. But if there are already 2 keys, then insert into the node anyway, creating an "error node" containing 3 keys (too many!). Then apply the  $\alpha$ -transformation to change this into a legal configuration of three nodes.
- 3. After applying the  $\alpha$ -transformation, if there is a parent node, then we must apply the  $\beta$ -transformation to fix the imbalance created by the  $\alpha$ -transformation.

But this is imbalanced, so we will combine the root of the new subtree with the parent node:





 $\beta$ -transformation(s): If the parent has only 1 key, then insert the root into the parent node and distribute the subtrees accordingly:





 $\beta$ -transformation(s): If the parent has only 1 key, then insert the root into the parent node and distribute the subtrees accordingly:





 $\beta$ -transformation(s): If the parent has 2 keys, then create an error node and repeat the α-transformation (you may have to continue apply α-and β-transformations up the tree):





 $\beta$ -transformation(s): If the parent has 2 keys, then create an error node and go back to the α-transformation (you may have to continue apply α- and β-transformations up the tree):





 $\beta$ -transformation(s): If the parent has 2 keys, then create an error node and go back to the α-transformation (you may have to continue apply α- and β-transformations up the tree):





- As with BSTs, you search for the key; if you find it, do nothing (don't insert duplicates); if you don't find it, then insert into the leaf node that you last looked in. If there is room, stop.
- 2. But if there are already 2 keys, then insert into the node anyway, creating an "error node" containing 3 keys (too many!). Then apply the  $\alpha$ -transformation to change this into a legal configuration of three nodes.
- 3. After applying the  $\alpha$ -transformation, if there is a parent node, then we must apply the  $\beta$ -transformation to fix the imbalance created by the  $\alpha$ -transformation.
- You may have to continue a series of αand β-transformations moving up the path to the root, until a balanced tree with no error nodes is obtained.

Let's continue with our example....



Insert a 16 into the tree:













Summary of rules for inserting a new key into a 2-3 tree:

- 1. Insert new key into appropriate leaf node, potentially creating an error node;
- If there is an error node, apply α- and βtransformations moving up the path to the root, until a balanced tree with no error nodes is obtained.





# Worst-Case Time Complexity of 2-3 Trees (counting the number of comparisons): Member(....)

Consider the following tree:

- What is the cost (# of comparisons) for finding 2?
- How about 27?
- Which keys represent the worst case for this tree?







# Worst-Case Time Complexity of 2-3 Trees (counting the number of comparisons): Member(....)

Consider the following tree:

- What is the cost (# of comparisons) for finding 2? 3
- How about 27? 5
- Which keys represent the worst case for this tree? 46 or 66, with 6 comparisons





# Worst-Case Time Complexity of 2-3 Trees (counting the number of comparisons): Member(....)

The worst-case for member(...) is to go all the way to a leaf node, and do 2 comparisons at each node; in a balanced tree with N keys, the height is  $\Theta(Log N)$ , i.e., C \* Log N + .... for some constant C, but if we have to do 2 comparisons at each node, this becomes 2 \* C \* Log N + .... which is still  $\Theta(Log N)$  comparisons.





# Worst-Case Time Complexity of 2-3 Trees (counting the number of comparisons): Insert(....)

For insert(...), the worst thing that can happen is that you insert the new key at the bottom of the tree, and it causes  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -transformations all the way back up the tree. Each transformation takes a constant C amount of work, so the cost is  $\Theta$ (Log N) to find the location (as in member(...)), and C \*  $\Theta$ (Log N) transform the tree back up to the root. (1 + C) \*  $\Theta$ (Log N) is still  $\Theta$ (Log N).





# Worst-Case Time Complexity of 2-3 Trees (counting the number of comparisons):

Member(....):  $\Theta(Log N)$ Delete(....):  $\Theta(Log N)$  (not described)

**Insert(....):** Θ( Log N )





**Code Complexity:** 2-3 Trees are generally encoded as normal BSTs with two different colored links ("Red-Black Trees"), and the code for insert is not as complicated as you would imagine:

```
private static Node insert(int key, Node t) {
    if (t == null)
      return new Node(key);
    else if (key < t.key) {</pre>
      t.left = insert(key, t.left);
      return applyTransformations(t);
    } else if (key > t.key) {
                                                          }
      t.right = insert(key, t.right);
      return applyTransformations(t);
    } else
      return t;
 }
private static Node leanRight( Node t ) {
   Node newRoot = t.left;
   t.left = newRoot.right;
   newRoot.right = t;
                                                            return t;
    newRoot.red = t.red;
                                                          }
   t.red = true;
   return newRoot;
```

```
private static Node rotateLeft( Node t ) {
  Node newRoot = t.right;
  t.right = t.right.left;
  newRoot.left = t;
  newRoot.red = true;
  newRoot.left.red = false;
  newRoot.right.red = false;
  return newRoot;
private static Node applyTransformations( Node t ) {
  if(t == null)
    return null;
  if(t.left != null && t.left.red)
    t = leanRight(t);
  if( t.right != null && t.right.red
     && t.right.right != null && t.right.right.red)
     t = rotateLeft( t );
```