

# CS 512, Spring 2018, Handout 18

## Hoare Logic (Continued)

Assaf Kfoury

March 20, 2018

## using proof rules for PCA's

- ▶ show that  $\vdash_{\text{par}} \{ y = 5 \} x := y + 1 \{ x = 6 \}$

## using proof rules for PCA's

- ▶ show that  $\vdash_{\text{par}} \{ y = 5 \} x := y + 1 \{ x = 6 \}$

$x := y + 1$

## using proof rules for PCA's

► show that  $\vdash_{\text{par}} \{ y = 5 \} x := y + 1 \{ x = 6 \}$

$x := y + 1$

$\{ x = 6 \}$

## using proof rules for PCA's

► show that  $\vdash_{\text{par}} \{ y = 5 \} x := y + 1 \{ x = 6 \}$

$\{ y + 1 = 6 \}$

(assignment)

$x := y + 1$

$\{ x = 6 \}$

## using proof rules for PCA's

► show that  $\vdash_{\text{par}} \{ y = 5 \} x := y + 1 \{ x = 6 \}$

$\{ y = 5 \}$  (implied)

$\{ y + 1 = 6 \}$  (assignment)

$x := y + 1$

$\{ x = 6 \}$

## using proof rules for PCA's (continued)

- ▶ show that  $\vdash_{\text{par}} \{ y < 3 \} y := y + 1 \{ y < 4 \}$

## using proof rules for PCA's (continued)

- ▶ show that  $\vdash_{\text{par}} \{ y < 3 \} y := y + 1 \{ y < 4 \}$

$y := y + 1$



## using proof rules for PCA's (continued)

- ▶ show that  $\vdash_{\text{par}} \{ y < 3 \} y := y + 1 \{ y < 4 \}$

$y := y + 1$

$\{y < 4\}$

## using proof rules for PCA's (continued)

► show that  $\vdash_{\text{par}} \{ y < 3 \} y := y + 1 \{ y < 4 \}$

$\{y + 1 < 4\}$

(assignment)

$y := y + 1$

$\{y < 4\}$

## using proof rules for PCA's (continued)

► show that  $\vdash_{\text{par}} \{ y < 3 \} y := y + 1 \{ y < 4 \}$

$\{ y < 3 \}$  (implied)

$\{ y + 1 < 4 \}$  (assignment)

$y := y + 1$

$\{ y < 4 \}$

## using proof rules for PCA's (continued)

► show  $\vdash_{\text{par}} \{ \top \} z := x; z := z + y; u := z; \{ u = x + y \}$

## using proof rules for PCA's (continued)

► show  $\vdash_{\text{par}} \{ \top \} z := x; z := z + y; u := z; \{ u = x + y \}$

$z := x;$

$z := z + y;$

$u := z;$

## using proof rules for PCA's (continued)

► show  $\vdash_{\text{par}} \{ \top \} z := x; z := z + y; u := z; \{ u = x + y \}$

$z := x;$

$z := z + y;$

$u := z;$

$\{ u = x + y \}$

## using proof rules for PCA's (continued)

► show  $\vdash_{\text{par}} \{ \top \} z := x; z := z + y; u := z; \{ u = x + y \}$

$z := x;$

$z := z + y;$

$\{z = x + y\}$

(assignment)

$u := z;$

$\{u = x + y\}$

## using proof rules for PCA's (continued)

► show  $\vdash_{\text{par}} \{ \top \} z := x; z := z + y; u := z; \{ u = x + y \}$

$z := x;$

$\{z + y = x + y\}$  (assignment)

$z := z + y;$

$\{z = x + y\}$  (assignment)

$u := z;$

$\{u = x + y\}$



## using proof rules for PCA's (continued)

► show  $\vdash_{\text{par}} \{ \top \} z := x; z := z + y; u := z; \{ u = x + y \}$

$\{x + y = x + y\}$  (assignment)

$z := x;$

$\{z + y = x + y\}$  (assignment)

$z := z + y;$

$\{z = x + y\}$  (assignment)

$u := z;$

$\{u = x + y\}$

## using proof rules for PCA's (continued)

► show  $\vdash_{\text{par}} \{ \top \} z := x; z := z + y; u := z; \{ u = x + y \}$

$\{ \top \}$  (implied)

$\{ x + y = x + y \}$  (assignment)

$z := x;$

$\{ z + y = x + y \}$  (assignment)

$z := z + y;$

$\{ z = x + y \}$  (assignment)

$u := z;$

$\{ u = x + y \}$

## wrong uses of the rule (assignment)

## wrong uses of the rule (assignment)

$$\frac{}{\{ \varphi \} x := E \{ \varphi[x \mapsto E] \}}$$

assignment-wrong-1

## wrong uses of the rule (assignment)

$$\frac{}{\{ \varphi \} x := E \{ \varphi[x \mapsto E] \}} \quad \text{assignment-wrong-1}$$

rule (assignment-wrong-1) allows us to show

$$\vdash_{\text{par}} \{ x = 0 \} x := 1 \{ 1 = 0 \}$$

## wrong uses of the rule (assignment)

$$\frac{}{\{ \varphi \} x := E \{ \varphi[x \mapsto E] \}} \quad \text{assignment-wrong-1}$$

rule (assignment-wrong-1) allows us to show

$$\vdash_{\text{par}} \{ x = 0 \} x := 1 \{ 1 = 0 \}$$

$$\frac{}{\{ \varphi \} x := E \{ \varphi[E \mapsto x] \}} \quad \text{assignment-wrong-2}$$

## wrong uses of the rule (assignment)

$$\frac{}{\{ \varphi \} x := E \{ \varphi[x \mapsto E] \}} \quad \text{assignment-wrong-1}$$

rule (assignment-wrong-1) allows us to show

$$\vdash_{\text{par}} \{ x = 0 \} x := 1 \{ 1 = 0 \}$$

$$\frac{}{\{ \varphi \} x := E \{ \varphi[E \mapsto x] \}} \quad \text{assignment-wrong-2}$$

rule (assignment-wrong-2) allows us to show

$$\vdash_{\text{par}} \{ x = 0 \} x := 1 \{ x = 0 \}$$

## more proof rules for PCA's?

Are the following proof rules (not in the book) **sound**?



## more proof rules for PCA's?

Are the following proof rules (not in the book) **sound**?

$$\frac{\{ \varphi_1 \} C \{ \psi_1 \} \quad \{ \varphi_2 \} C \{ \psi_2 \}}{\{ \varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2 \} C \{ \psi_1 \wedge \psi_2 \}}$$

spec conjunction

## more proof rules for PCA's?

Are the following proof rules (not in the book) **sound**?

$$\frac{\{ \varphi_1 \} C \{ \psi_1 \} \quad \{ \varphi_2 \} C \{ \psi_2 \}}{\{ \varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2 \} C \{ \psi_1 \wedge \psi_2 \}} \quad \text{spec conjunction}$$

$$\frac{\{ \varphi_1 \} C \{ \psi_1 \} \quad \{ \varphi_2 \} C \{ \psi_2 \}}{\{ \varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2 \} C \{ \psi_1 \vee \psi_2 \}} \quad \text{spec disjunction}$$

## more proof rules for PCA's?

Are the following proof rules (not in the book) **sound**?

$$\frac{\{ \varphi_1 \} C \{ \psi_1 \} \quad \{ \varphi_2 \} C \{ \psi_2 \}}{\{ \varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2 \} C \{ \psi_1 \wedge \psi_2 \}} \quad \text{spec conjunction}$$

$$\frac{\{ \varphi_1 \} C \{ \psi_1 \} \quad \{ \varphi_2 \} C \{ \psi_2 \}}{\{ \varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2 \} C \{ \psi_1 \vee \psi_2 \}} \quad \text{spec disjunction}$$

**YES!**

## more proof rules for PCA's?

Are the following proof rules (not in the book) **sound**?

$$\frac{\{ \varphi_1 \} C \{ \psi_1 \} \quad \{ \varphi_2 \} C \{ \psi_2 \}}{\{ \varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2 \} C \{ \psi_1 \wedge \psi_2 \}} \quad \text{spec conjunction}$$

$$\frac{\{ \varphi_1 \} C \{ \psi_1 \} \quad \{ \varphi_2 \} C \{ \psi_2 \}}{\{ \varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2 \} C \{ \psi_1 \vee \psi_2 \}} \quad \text{spec disjunction}$$

**YES!**

Are these derivable from the rules in Handout 18?

## more proof rules for PCA's?

Are the following proof rules (not in the book) **sound**?

$$\frac{\{ \varphi_1 \} C \{ \psi_1 \} \quad \{ \varphi_2 \} C \{ \psi_2 \}}{\{ \varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2 \} C \{ \psi_1 \wedge \psi_2 \}} \quad \text{spec conjunction}$$

$$\frac{\{ \varphi_1 \} C \{ \psi_1 \} \quad \{ \varphi_2 \} C \{ \psi_2 \}}{\{ \varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2 \} C \{ \psi_1 \vee \psi_2 \}} \quad \text{spec disjunction}$$

**YES!**

Are these derivable from the rules in Handout 18?

**ALMOST . . .**

## more program constructs

## more program constructs

- ▶ Exercise 4.2.2, page 299, in [LCS]: **for** loops

## more program constructs

- ▶ Exercise 4.2.2, page 299, in [LCS]: **for** loops
- ▶ Exercise 4.2.3, page 299, in [LCS]: **repeat-until** loops



more program constructs (not in the book):  
an imperative language + nondeterminism + concurrency

more program constructs (not in the book):  
an imperative language + nondeterminism + concurrency

- ▶ integer expressions

$E ::= \dots$  (as before)

more program constructs (not in the book):  
an imperative language + nondeterminism + concurrency

- ▶ integer expressions

$E ::= \dots$  (as before)

- ▶ boolean expressions

$B ::= \dots$  (as before)

## more program constructs (not in the book): an imperative language + nondeterminism + concurrency

- ▶ integer expressions

$E ::= \dots$  (as before)

- ▶ boolean expressions

$B ::= \dots$  (as before)

- ▶ program expressions (or commands)

$C ::= x := E \mid C; C \mid \mathbf{if } B \mathbf{ then } C \mathbf{ else } C \mid \mathbf{while } B \mathbf{ do } C \mathbf{ od}$

## more program constructs (not in the book): an imperative language + nondeterminism + concurrency

- ▶ integer expressions

$E ::= \dots$  (as before)

- ▶ boolean expressions

$B ::= \dots$  (as before)

- ▶ program expressions (or commands)

$C ::= x := E \mid C; C \mid \mathbf{if } B \mathbf{ then } C \mathbf{ else } C \mid \mathbf{while } B \mathbf{ do } C \mathbf{ od}$   
 $\mid C \cup C$  (nondeterminism)

## more program constructs (not in the book): an imperative language + nondeterminism + concurrency

- ▶ integer expressions

$E ::= \dots$  (as before)

- ▶ boolean expressions

$B ::= \dots$  (as before)

- ▶ program expressions (or commands)

$C ::= x := E \mid C; C \mid \mathbf{if} B \mathbf{then} C \mathbf{else} C \mid \mathbf{while} B \mathbf{do} C \mathbf{od}$   
|  $C \cup C$  (nondeterminism)  
|  $C \parallel C$  (concurrency)

## more program constructs (not in the book): an imperative language + nondeterminism + concurrency

- ▶ integer expressions

$E ::= \dots$  (as before)

- ▶ boolean expressions

$B ::= \dots$  (as before)

- ▶ program expressions (or commands)

$C ::= x := E \mid C; C \mid \mathbf{if } B \mathbf{ then } C \mathbf{ else } C \mid \mathbf{while } B \mathbf{ do } C \mathbf{ od}$   
|  $C \cup C$  (nondeterminism)  
|  $C \parallel C$  (concurrency)

- ▶ execution of program  $(x := 1) \cup (x := 2)$   
nondeterministically sets  $x$  either to 1 or to 2

## more program constructs (not in the book): an imperative language + nondeterminism + concurrency

- ▶ integer expressions

$E ::= \dots$  (as before)

- ▶ boolean expressions

$B ::= \dots$  (as before)

- ▶ program expressions (or commands)

$C ::= x := E \mid C; C \mid \mathbf{if\ } B \mathbf{\ then\ } C \mathbf{\ else\ } C \mid \mathbf{while\ } B \mathbf{\ do\ } C \mathbf{\ od}$   
|  $C \cup C$  (nondeterminism)  
|  $C \parallel C$  (concurrency)

- ▶ execution of program  $(x := 1) \cup (x := 2)$   
nondeterministically sets  $x$  either to 1 or to 2
- ▶ execution of program  $(x := 1; x := x + 1) \parallel (x := 2; x := x + 2)$   
interleaves the 4 assignments in any order, as long as  $x$  is set to 1 before being incremented by 1, and set to 2 before being incremented by 2. possible final values of  $x$  are 2, 4, and 5.



more program constructs (not in the book):  
an imperative language + nondeterminism + concurrency

more program constructs (not in the book):  
an imperative language + nondeterminism + concurrency

- ▶ Write proof rules for **concurrency**

more program constructs (not in the book):  
an imperative language + nondeterminism + concurrency

- ▶ Write proof rules for **concurrency**
  
- ▶ Write proof rules for **non-determinism**

(THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK)