

CS 512, Spring 2018, Handout 21  
Probabilistic Computation-Tree Logic (PCTL)

Assaf Kfoury

April 12, 2018

# Minimal presentation of PCTL

## ► Syntax of PCTL:

$\varphi ::= \mathbf{true} \mid \mathbf{false} \mid p \mid \neg\varphi \mid \varphi \wedge \varphi' \mid \mathbb{P}_J(\Psi)$  (state formulas)

$\Psi ::= \varphi \cup \varphi' \mid \varphi \cup^{\leq n} \varphi'$  (path formulas)

where  $p$  ranges over a finite set AP of atomic propositions,

$n$  ranges over  $\mathbb{N}$ , and

$J$  ranges over intervals with rational bounds between 0 and 1, i.e.,

$J = [q_1, q_2]$  or  $J = ]q_1, q_2]$  or  $J = [q_1, q_2[$  or  $J = ]q_1, q_2[$

for some  $0 \leq q_1 \leq q_2 \leq 1$ .

# Minimal presentation of PCTL

## ► Syntax of PCTL:

$\varphi ::= \mathbf{true} \mid \mathbf{false} \mid p \mid \neg\varphi \mid \varphi \wedge \varphi' \mid \mathbb{P}_J(\Psi)$  (state formulas)

$\Psi ::= \varphi \cup \varphi' \mid \varphi \cup^{\leq n} \varphi'$  (path formulas)

where  $p$  ranges over a finite set AP of atomic propositions,

$n$  ranges over  $\mathbb{N}$ , and

$J$  ranges over intervals with rational bounds between 0 and 1, i.e.,

$J = [q_1, q_2]$  or  $J = ]q_1, q_2]$  or  $J = [q_1, q_2[$  or  $J = ]q_1, q_2[$

for some  $0 \leq q_1 \leq q_2 \leq 1$ .

**Remark:** We use only *until* “ $\cup$ ” and *bounded until* “ $\cup^{\leq n}$ ” as temporal connectives in this version of PCTL.

## ► Informal meaning of $(\varphi_1 \cup^{\leq n} \varphi_2)$ :

“ $\varphi_2$  will hold within at most  $n$  steps while

$\varphi_1$  holds in all the states that are visited before a  $\varphi_2$ -state is reached”

# Minimal presentation of PCTL

► **Syntax of PCTL – some shorthands:**

If  $J = [q_1, q_2]$  we can read the formula  $\mathbb{P}_J(\Psi)$  as  $\mathbb{P}_{q_1 \leq p \leq q_2}(\Psi)$ , which asserts that path formula  $\Psi$  holds with a probability  $p$  between  $q_1$  and  $q_2$ .

If  $J = ]q_1, q_2]$  we can read the formula  $\mathbb{P}_J(\Psi)$  as  $\mathbb{P}_{q_1 < p \leq q_2}(\Psi)$ .

If  $J = [q_1, q_2[$  we can read the formula  $\mathbb{P}_J(\Psi)$  as  $\mathbb{P}_{q_1 \leq p < q_2}(\Psi)$ .

If  $J = ]q_1, q_2[$  we can read the formula  $\mathbb{P}_J(\Psi)$  as  $\mathbb{P}_{q_1 < p < q_2}(\Psi)$ .

# Minimal presentation of PCTL

- ▶ **Syntax of PCTL – some shorthands:**

If  $J = [q_1, q_2]$  we can read the formula  $\mathbb{P}_J(\Psi)$  as  $\mathbb{P}_{q_1 \leq p \leq q_2}(\Psi)$ , which asserts that path formula  $\Psi$  holds with a probability  $p$  between  $q_1$  and  $q_2$ .

If  $J = ]q_1, q_2]$  we can read the formula  $\mathbb{P}_J(\Psi)$  as  $\mathbb{P}_{q_1 < p \leq q_2}(\Psi)$ .

If  $J = [q_1, q_2[$  we can read the formula  $\mathbb{P}_J(\Psi)$  as  $\mathbb{P}_{q_1 \leq p < q_2}(\Psi)$ .

If  $J = ]q_1, q_2[$  we can read the formula  $\mathbb{P}_J(\Psi)$  as  $\mathbb{P}_{q_1 < p < q_2}(\Psi)$ .

- ▶ If  $J = [q_1, q_2]$  and  $q_1 = 0$ , we can read  $\mathbb{P}_J(\Psi)$  as  $\mathbb{P}_{\leq q_2}(\Psi)$ .

If  $J = [q_1, q_2]$  and  $q_2 = 1$ , we can read  $\mathbb{P}_J(\Psi)$  as  $\mathbb{P}_{\geq q_1}(\Psi)$ .

If  $J = [q_1, q_2]$  and  $q_1 = q_2 = q$ , we can read  $\mathbb{P}_J(\Psi)$  as  $\mathbb{P}_{=q}(\Psi)$ .

- ▶ And similarly, if  $J = ]q_1, q_2]$  or  $J = [q_1, q_2[$  . . . .

# Minimal presentation of PCTL

- ▶ **Syntax of PCTL – some shorthands:**

If  $J = [q_1, q_2]$  we can read the formula  $\mathbb{P}_J(\Psi)$  as  $\mathbb{P}_{q_1 \leq p \leq q_2}(\Psi)$ , which asserts that path formula  $\Psi$  holds with a probability  $p$  between  $q_1$  and  $q_2$ .

If  $J = ]q_1, q_2]$  we can read the formula  $\mathbb{P}_J(\Psi)$  as  $\mathbb{P}_{q_1 < p \leq q_2}(\Psi)$ .

If  $J = [q_1, q_2[$  we can read the formula  $\mathbb{P}_J(\Psi)$  as  $\mathbb{P}_{q_1 \leq p < q_2}(\Psi)$ .

If  $J = ]q_1, q_2[$  we can read the formula  $\mathbb{P}_J(\Psi)$  as  $\mathbb{P}_{q_1 < p < q_2}(\Psi)$ .

- ▶ If  $J = [q_1, q_2]$  and  $q_1 = 0$ , we can read  $\mathbb{P}_J(\Psi)$  as  $\mathbb{P}_{\leq q_2}(\Psi)$ .

If  $J = [q_1, q_2]$  and  $q_2 = 1$ , we can read  $\mathbb{P}_J(\Psi)$  as  $\mathbb{P}_{\geq q_1}(\Psi)$ .

If  $J = [q_1, q_2]$  and  $q_1 = q_2 = q$ , we can read  $\mathbb{P}_J(\Psi)$  as  $\mathbb{P}_{=q}(\Psi)$ .

- ▶ And similarly, if  $J = ]q_1, q_2]$  or  $J = [q_1, q_2[$  . . . .

- ▶ If  $J = [q_1, 1]$  and  $\Psi = (\varphi_1 \cup \varphi_2)$  we can read  $\mathbb{P}_J(\Psi)$  as  $(\varphi_1 \cup^{\geq q_1} \varphi_2)$ .

- ▶ Etc. . . . .

# Minimal presentation of PCTL

## ► Semantics of PCTL:

$$\mathcal{M}, s \models \mathbf{true}$$

$$\mathcal{M}, s \models p \quad \text{iff } p \in L(s)$$

$$\mathcal{M}, s \models \neg\varphi \quad \text{iff } \mathcal{M}, s \not\models \varphi$$

$$\mathcal{M}, s \models \varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2 \quad \text{iff } \mathcal{M}, s \models \varphi_1 \text{ and } \mathcal{M}, s \models \varphi_2$$

$$\mathcal{M}, s \models \mathbb{P}_J(\Psi) \quad \text{iff } \Pr(\mathcal{M}, s \models \Psi) \in J$$

where  $\Pr(\mathcal{M}, s \models \Psi) := \Pr\{\pi \in \mathbf{Paths}(s) \mid \mathcal{M}, \pi \models \Psi\}$  with:

$$\mathcal{M}, \pi \models \varphi_1 \uplus \varphi_2 \quad \text{iff } \text{there is } j \geq 0 \text{ such } \pi[j..] \models \varphi_2 \text{ and } \pi[i..] \models \varphi_1 \text{ for every } 0 \leq i < j$$

$$\mathcal{M}, \pi \models \varphi_1 \uplus^{\leq n} \varphi_2 \quad \text{iff } \text{there is } 0 \leq j \leq n \text{ such } \pi[j..] \models \varphi_2 \text{ and } \pi[i..] \models \varphi_1 \text{ for every } 0 \leq i < j$$

where  $\pi = s_0s_1s_2 \cdots$  is an  $\omega$ -infinite execution path in  $\mathcal{M}$ .

# Minimal presentation of PCTL

- **Definitions of other temporal connectives in terms of  $\cup$  and  $\cup^{\leq n}$ .**

1.  $\diamond \varphi \triangleq (\mathbf{true} \cup \varphi)$

2.  $\diamond^{\leq n} \varphi \triangleq (\mathbf{true} \cup^{\leq n} \varphi),$

a path satisfies  $(\diamond^{\leq n} \varphi)$  if it reaches a  $\varphi$ -state within  $n$  steps

3. Can you define  $(\square^{\leq n} \varphi) \triangleq \neg(\diamond^{\leq n} \neg\varphi)$ ?

a path satisfies  $(\square^{\leq n} \varphi)$  if each of its first  $n + 1$  states satisfies  $\varphi$

4. How about defining  $\bigcirc \varphi \triangleq (\neg\varphi \cup^{\leq 1} \varphi) \vee (\mathbf{true} \cup^{\leq 1} \varphi)$ ?

5.  $\mathbb{P}_{\leq p}(\square \varphi) \triangleq \mathbb{P}_{\geq 1-p}(\diamond \neg\varphi)$

6.  $\mathbb{P}_{]p,q]}(\square^{\leq n} \varphi) \triangleq \mathbb{P}_{[1-q,1-p[}(\diamond^{\leq n} \neg\varphi)$

7.  $\mathbb{P}_J(\square^{\leq n} \varphi) \triangleq \mathbb{P}_J(\varphi \mathbf{W}^{\leq n} \perp)$

8. Etc. ...



# Examples of modeling with PCTL

1.  $\bigcirc \leq^{0.2} \varphi$

“ $\varphi$  is true in the next state with probability  $\leq 0.2$ ”

2.  $(\varphi_1 \uplus^{\leq 0.3} \varphi_2)$

“probability of reaching a  $\varphi_2$ -state via a  $\varphi_1$ -path  $\leq 0.3$ ”

3.  $\mathbb{P}_{\leq 0.4}(\varphi_1 \uplus^{\leq 10} \varphi_2)$  or also  $(\varphi_1 \uplus_{\leq 0.4}^{\leq 10} \varphi_2)$

“probability of reaching a  $\varphi_2$ -state via a  $\varphi_1$ -path in at most 10 steps  $\leq 0.4$ ”

**The two next formulas are equivalent (why?):**

4.  $\mathbb{P}_{\leq 0.001}(\diamond^{\leq 50} \text{error})$

“probability of an error to occur within 50 steps  $\leq 0.001$ ”

5.  $\mathbb{P}_{\geq 0.999}(\square^{\leq 50} \neg \text{error})$

“probability of **no** error to occur within 50 steps  $\geq 0.999$ ”

## Examples of modeling with PCTL

6. In a transition system  $\mathcal{M}$  where, along every  $\omega$ -infinite execution path a 6-sided die is repeatedly cast, the following PCTL formula:

$$\bigwedge_{1 \leq i \leq 6} \mathbb{P}_{=1/6}(\diamond a_i)$$

expresses that “each of the 6 possible outcomes is equally probable”, where  $a_1, \dots, a_6$  are atomic propositions representing 6 sides of the die.

# Examples of modeling with PCTL

7.  $\mathbb{P}_{=1}(\diamond \text{ delivered})$

“with probability = 1 the message will be eventually delivered”

8.  $\mathbb{P}_{=1}(\square (\text{try\_to\_send} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\geq 0.99}(\diamond^{\leq 3} \text{ delivered})))$

“with probability = 1 every attempt to send the message will result in its delivery in at most 3 steps with probability  $\geq 0.99$ ”

**Combining the two preceding formulas:**

9.  $\mathbb{P}_{=1}(\diamond \text{ delivered}) \wedge \mathbb{P}_{=1}(\square (\text{try\_to\_send} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\geq 0.99}(\diamond^{\leq 3} \text{ delivered})))$

“with probability = 1 the message will . . . and

with probability = 1 every attempt to send . . .”

**Exercise:** Check that all the formulas on pages 9, 10, and 11, are valid in the formal syntax of PCTL on page 2.

*Hint:* Consult the equivalences on page 8.

(THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK)