CS512 - Formal Methods

Thursday, March 29th, 2018 Note-taker: Glib Dolotov

<u>Announcements</u>: April 3, 5, 10 - Lectures by Alley Stoughton on *EasyCrypt*. Assignment #7: due Tomorrow, March 30th.

Consider

$$\mathcal{P}: \quad ((x \coloneqq 0) \oplus_{1/2} (x \coloneqq 1)) \oplus_{1/2} (x \coloneqq 2); \\ y \coloneqq 3;$$

SET A: countable $\vartheta: A \rightarrow [0,1]$ ϑ is a probability distribution such that

$$\sum_{a \in A} \vartheta(a) = 1$$

If, however, we write

$$\sum_{a \in A} \vartheta(a) \leq 1,$$

then ϑ is a sub-probability distribution. We allow for an event that we don't know the probability of.

$$\mathcal{D}(A) \triangleq \left\{ \vartheta: A \to [0,1] \mid \sum_{a \in A} \le 1 \right\}$$

For a *deterministic* program P

$$\llbracket P \rrbracket : \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{S}$$

where $[\![P]\!]$ is interpreted as a "state transformer".

Note: Previous versions of the lecture notes used " Σ " to represent the set of possible states, we are now using "S" instead to avoid confusing it with "summation".

For a *probabilistic* program \mathcal{P}

$$\langle\!\langle \mathcal{P} \rangle\!\rangle: \Theta \to \Theta$$

 \mathcal{S} (formerly called Σ) is the set of states σ .

$$\mathcal{S} = \{ \sigma : \mathcal{V} \to \mathbb{Z} \}$$

 \mathcal{V} is the set of all variables. Θ is the set of all *probabilistic* states ϑ .

$$\vartheta \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}) = \{ \mathcal{S} \rightarrow [0,1] \mid \dots \}$$

Example:

$$\mathcal{V} = \{x, y\}$$

$$\sigma : \{x, y\} \to \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\sigma = \langle \sigma(x), \sigma(y) \rangle$$

$$\mathcal{S} = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$$

 $\sigma = \langle m,n \rangle$ where $m,n \in \mathbb{Z}$ has infinitely many possible states.

Suppose we start \mathcal{P} from (5,5). What is the probabilistic state when \mathcal{P} terminates?

1. Note: we are inputting a *state* into a *probabilistic* program. However, *probabilistic* programs accept only *probabilistic states*. So we must first convert the state into a probabilistic state as follows:

$$\vartheta_{\sigma}(\sigma') = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \sigma' = \sigma \\ 0 & \text{if } \sigma' \neq \sigma \end{cases}$$

2. Inputting (5,5) into \mathcal{P} yields a distribution function ϑ_1 which produces probabilities when given a possible output state.

$$\vartheta_{1}(\sigma) = \begin{cases} 1/4 & \text{if } \sigma = \langle 0, 3 \rangle \\ 1/4 & \text{if } \sigma = \langle 1, 3 \rangle \\ 1/2 & \text{if } \sigma = \langle 2, 3 \rangle \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Let us now consider a slightly different probabilistic program, \mathcal{P}_2 . Differences between \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{P}_2 are printed in blue.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_2: & ((x \coloneqq 0) \oplus_{1/2} (x \coloneqq 1)) \oplus_{1/2} (x \coloneqq 2); \\ & ((y \coloneqq 3) \oplus_{1/2} (y \coloneqq 4)); \\ & \text{while } y = 4 \text{ do} \\ & \text{skip} \\ & \text{od}; \end{aligned}$$

Working with \mathcal{P}_2 , we must note that the program will never terminate with a probability of 1/2. The yield of \mathcal{P}_2 therefore becomes:

$$\vartheta_{2}(\sigma) = \begin{cases} 1/8 & \text{if } \sigma = \langle 0, 3 \rangle \\ 1/8 & \text{if } \sigma = \langle 1, 3 \rangle \\ 1/4 & \text{if } \sigma = \langle 2, 3 \rangle \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Consider \mathcal{P}_3 (changes between \mathcal{P}_3 and \mathcal{P}_2 are in purple):

$$\mathcal{P}_{3}: \quad ((x := 0) \oplus_{1/2} (x := 1)) \oplus_{1/2} (x := 2); \\ ((y := 3) \oplus_{2/3} (y := 4)); \\ \text{while } y = 4 \text{ do} \\ \text{skip} \\ \text{od;} \end{cases}$$

The yield of \mathcal{P}_3 becomes:

$$\vartheta_{2}(\sigma) = \begin{cases} 1/6 & \text{if } \sigma = \langle 0, 3 \rangle \\ 1/6 & \text{if } \sigma = \langle 1, 3 \rangle \\ 1/3 & \text{if } \sigma = \langle 2, 3 \rangle \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Consider \mathcal{P}_4 (changes between \mathcal{P}_4 and \mathcal{P}_2 are in red):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{2}: & ((x \coloneqq 0) \oplus_{1/2} (x \coloneqq 1)) \oplus_{1/2} (x \coloneqq 2); \\ & ((y \coloneqq 3) \oplus_{1/2} (y \coloneqq 4)); \\ & \text{while } y = 4 \text{ do} \\ & (y \coloneqq 3) \oplus_{1/2} (y \coloneqq 4); \\ & \text{od}; \end{aligned}$$

 \mathcal{P}_4 does, in fact, terminate because

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} p^n = 0$$

In other words, each iteration has a possibility of continuing the program with a probability (p) of 1/2. As the number of iterations (n) approaches infinity, the probability that the program hasn't yet terminated collapses to 0.

Consider integer expressions in classical Hoare logic:

$$E = x + y - 4$$
$$\sigma = \langle 2, 13 \rangle$$

Recall, E is used to denote integer expressions. $\llbracket E \rrbracket \sigma = 2 + 13 - 4 = 11$ $\llbracket E \rrbracket : S \to \mathbb{Z}$

For probabilistic Hoare logic, integer expressions work as follows:

$$\langle\!\langle E \rangle\!\rangle : \Theta \to \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z})$$
 note: $\Theta = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}).$

Therefore:

$$\langle\!\langle E \rangle\!\rangle : \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}) \to (\mathbb{Z} \to [0,1]).$$

Example: let's work with \mathcal{P} and it's output

$$\vartheta_{1}(\sigma) = \begin{cases} 1/4 & \text{if } \sigma = \langle 0, 3 \rangle \\ 1/4 & \text{if } \sigma = \langle 1, 3 \rangle \\ 1/2 & \text{if } \sigma = \langle 2, 3 \rangle \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
$$E \triangleq x + y = 4$$
$$\langle\!\langle E \rangle\!\rangle \ \vartheta \ n = p$$
$$\langle\!\langle x + y - 4 \rangle\!\rangle \vartheta_{1}(-1) = 1/4$$
$$\langle\!\langle x + y - 4 \rangle\!\rangle \vartheta_{1}(n) = \begin{cases} 1/4 & \text{if } n = -1 \\ 1/4 & \text{if } n = 0 \\ 1/2 & \text{if } n = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Now for Boolean expressions: In classical Hoare logic: $[\![B]\!]: S \to \mathbb{B}$ In probabilistic Hoare logic: $\langle\!(B)\!\rangle: \vartheta = \mathcal{D}(S) \to \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{B})$.

A probabilistic program is a transformer of probabilistic states.

Binary relation vs. a function: a function is a specific case of a binary relation.

In classical Hoare logic:

$$\llbracket x \coloneqq E \rrbracket = \{ (\sigma, \sigma [x \mapsto n]) \mid \sigma \in \mathcal{S}, n = \llbracket E \rrbracket \sigma \}$$

At this point in the lecture, we began looking at the denotational semantics of commands of probabilistic programs in the lecture notes.

Pre- & Post-Conditions of Probabilistic H.L.

 $\{\Phi\}\mathcal{P}\{\Psi\}$. We must somehow include probabilistic data in both pre- and post-conditions. We have yet to formally define these.