

## 4 Working with composite moduli and the Blum-Blum-Shub generator

### 4.1 Chinese Remainder Theorem

Let  $p \neq q$  be two primes. The Chinese Remainder Theorem (CRT) says that working modulo  $n = pq$  is essentially the same as working modulo  $p$  and modulo  $q$  at the same time: more formally (for those comfortable with abstract algebra), that the ring  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  is isomorphic to the product ring  $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_q$ . (Actually, this is the “light” version of CRT, which is all we need for this course. The full-fledged version says that working modulo  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_k$ , where  $a_i$  are pairwise relatively prime, is the same as working simultaneously modulo  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k$ .)

Here is an example. Consider all the values modulo 35. They are in one-to-one correspondence with values modulo 5 and modulo 7.

	0	<u>1</u>	2	<u>3</u>	<span style="border: 1px solid black;">4</span>	5	6
0	0	15	30	10	25	5	20
<span style="border: 1px solid black;">1</span>	21	1	16	31	<span style="border: 1px solid black;">11</span>	26	6
<u>2</u>	7	22	2	<u>17</u>	32	12	27
3	28	8	23	3	18	33	13
<u>4</u>	14	<u>29</u>	9	24	4	19	34

Observe that if you want to add, say, 17 and 29 (underlined in the table), is the same as adding 3 (which is  $17 \pmod 7$ ) and 1 (which is  $29 \pmod 7$ ) modulo 7 to get 4; adding 2 (which is  $17 \pmod 5$ ) and 4 (which is  $29 \pmod 5$ ) modulo 5 to get 1; and then looking up the value corresponding to coordinates 4 and 1 in the table to get 11 (in a box in the table). Thus, we can do addition coordinatewise. Same for multiplication.

We now formally state and prove the observations above, generalized to  $p$  and  $q$  instead of 5 and 7.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $p \neq q$  be primes,  $n = pq$ . For each  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{Z}_q$ , there is unique  $c$ ,  $0 \leq c < n$  such that  $c \equiv a \pmod p$  and  $c \equiv b \pmod q$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $r = p^{-1} \pmod q$  and  $s = q^{-1} \pmod p$ . Let  $c' = rpb + sqa$ . Then  $c' \equiv rpb + sqa \equiv r \cdot 0 \cdot b + 1 \cdot a \equiv a \pmod p$ , and  $c' \equiv rpb + sqa \equiv 1 \cdot b + s \cdot 0 \cdot a \equiv b \pmod q$ . Let  $c = c' \pmod pq$ . Then  $pq \mid (c - c')$ , so  $p \mid (c - c')$ , so  $c \equiv c' \pmod p$ . Similarly,  $c \equiv c' \pmod q$ . Hence,  $c$  satisfies all the conditions:  $0 \leq c < n$ , and  $c \equiv a \pmod p$  (because  $c \equiv c' \equiv a \pmod p$ ), and  $c \equiv b \pmod q$  (because  $c \equiv c' \equiv b \pmod q$ ). Thus, for every pair  $(a, b)$  there is a  $c$ . There are  $pq = n$  possible pairs, and  $n$  possible values of  $c$ , so for each pair there must be exactly one value of  $c$ , so it's unique for each  $(a, b)$ .  $\square$

Denote by  $\text{crt}(a, b)$  the unique value of  $c$  given by the above theorem. Then  $\text{crt}(a, b) = c$  if and only if  $(a, b) = (c \pmod p, c \pmod q)$ . Let  $c_1 = \text{crt}(a_1, b_1)$ ,  $c_2 = \text{crt}(a_2, b_2)$ , and  $c_3 = c_1 + c_2 \pmod n$ . Then  $c_3 \pmod p = (c_1 + c_2) \pmod p = (a_1 + a_2) \pmod p$  (because  $n$  divides  $c_3 - c_1 - c_2$ , and therefore so does  $p$ ) and similarly  $c_3 \pmod q = (b_1 + b_2) \pmod q$ . Hence  $c_3 = \text{crt}(a_1 + a_2, b_1 + b_2)$ . Same for multiplication. Thus, we can look at addition and multiplication modulo  $n$  coordinatewise: modulo  $p$  and modulo  $q$ .

We will denote by  $\mathbb{Z}_n^*$  the set of values in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  that are relatively prime to  $n$ . Note that the “coordinates” of  $\mathbb{Z}_n^*$  are in  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_q^*$ , and that  $\mathbb{Z}_n^*$  has  $(p-1)(q-1)$  elements.

Note that the above proof is constructive: that is,  $c$  is efficiently (and, in fact, quite easily) computable given  $a$  and  $b$ . Thus, it is often more efficient to work modulo  $p$  and  $q$  separately and then reconstruct the value modulo  $n$  when it is needed.

### 4.2 Squares and Square Roots

Let  $p > 2$  be a prime. Let  $QR_p$  denote the set of squares in  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$ . Recall from HW2 that for  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ , if  $a \in QR_p$ , then  $a^{(p-1)/2} \equiv 1$ , and if  $a \notin QR_p$ , then  $a^{(p-1)/2} \equiv -1$ .

Suppose  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . Take  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ . It has two roots:  $r$  and  $-r$ . Exactly one of these two roots is itself in  $QR_p$ . Indeed, consider  $r^{(p-1)/2}$  and  $(-r)^{(p-1)/2}$ . Since  $(p-1)/2$  is odd (because  $p = 4k + 3$  for some  $k$ ),  $(-r)^{(p-1)/2} = - (r^{(p-1)/2})$ , so one is 1 and the other is  $-1$ .

Hence, if we let  $f_p(x) : QR_p \rightarrow QR_p$  be the map  $x \mapsto x^2 \pmod{p}$ , we see that for each  $s \in QR_p$ , there exists a unique inverse  $r \in QR_p$  such that  $f(r) = s$  (namely,  $r$  is the square root of  $s$  that is itself a square). So  $f_p$  of  $x$  is a permutation of  $QR_p$ . Note that  $f_p$  is easy to compute (just squaring) and easy to invert (as shown on HW2, it's easy to compute square roots modulo  $p$ ).

Now let  $p \neq q$  be two distinct odd primes, and let  $n = pq$ . Let  $QR_n$  denote the set of squares in  $\mathbb{Z}_n^*$ . Then if  $s$  is a square modulo  $n$ , it is also a square modulo  $p$  and  $q$ . Since it has two roots  $\pm r_1$  modulo  $p$  and two roots  $\pm r_2$  modulo  $q$ , it has four roots modulo  $n$ :  $\text{crt}(\pm r_1, \pm r_2)$ .

Suppose both  $p$  and  $q$  are congruent to 3 modulo 4. Then exactly one of  $\pm r_1$  is a square modulo  $p$ , and exactly one of  $\pm r_2$  is a square modulo  $q$ , so exactly one of  $\text{crt}(\pm r_1, \pm r_2)$  is a square modulo  $n$ . Hence, if we let  $f_n(x) : QR_n \rightarrow QR_n$  be the map  $x \mapsto x^2 \pmod{n}$ , we see that  $f_n(x)$  is a permutation over  $QR_n$ . Note that  $f_n(x)$  is easy to compute. We will argue below that it is hard to invert—as hard as it is to factor  $n$ .

### 4.3 Blum-Blum-Shub Generator

The following construction is due to [BBS86]<sup>1</sup>. Starting with a sufficiently long random seed, select two  $k$ -bit random primes  $p, q$  that are 3 modulo 4, let  $n = pq$ , and let  $x$  be random element of  $QR_n$  (just select a random element of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ , check if it's relatively prime with  $n$ , and square it). Let  $x_1 = x, x_2 = f_n(x), x_3 = f_n(x_2), \dots, x_l = f_n(x_{l-1})$ . Output the least significant bit for each  $x_i$ .

Note that this looks very much like the Blum-Micali generator, with exponentiation mod  $p$  replaced with squaring mod  $n$ , and  $B$  replaced with least significant bit. The proof is very similar, too. We simply need three facts: that the function  $f_n$  is a permutation (already shown above), that computing  $x$  from  $x^2 \pmod{n}$  is hard (discussed in the next section), and that computing the least significant bit of  $x$  from  $x^2 \pmod{n}$  is as hard as computing all of  $x$  (shown in [ACGS88]; an alternative proof is given in [AGS03]; we will not discuss either here). These three facts correspond, in the Blum-Micali case, to the fact that modular exponentiation is a permutation of  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$  (which is used in the reduction because we have to know that the permutation has a unique inverse in order to show that the bits the reduction feeds to the adversary correspond to bits a generator would have generated), to the assumption that discrete logarithm is hard, and the theorem that  $B(x)$  is as hard as to compute from  $g^x \pmod{p}$  as  $x$  itself.

This generator is more efficient than Blum-Micali: requires only one modular squaring per bit, instead of one one modular exponentiation. It is also based on a different (depending on whom you ask, more or less plausible) assumption: that factoring  $n$  is hard. We will show this in the next section.

### 4.4 Square Roots Modulo a Composite are as Hard as Factoring

We want to justify why we believe it's hard to compute  $x$  from  $x^2$  modulo  $n$ . Indeed, let  $s = r^2 \pmod{n}$ . Then  $s$  has four square roots, as discussed above  $\text{crt}(r_1, r_2), \text{crt}(-r_1, -r_2), \text{crt}(r_1, -r_2), \text{crt}(-r_1, r_2)$ . Take two of these that are not negatives of each other, e.g.,  $r = \text{crt}(r_1, r_2)$  and  $r' = \text{crt}(r_1, -r_2)$ . Add them to get  $r + r' = \text{crt}(2r_1, 0)$ . Thus,  $r + r' \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$ , so  $q | (r + r')$ . Note also that  $r + r' \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , so  $p \nmid (r + r')$ . Hence,  $\text{gcd}(r + r', n) = q$ . Thus, if you know two such roots, you can factor  $n$ , by simply computing the greatest common divisor (this can be done quickly with Euclid's algorithm).

Now suppose we have an algorithm  $A$  that computes square roots modulo  $n$ . We will use it to factor  $n$  as follows: take a random  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$ , compute  $s = r^2 \pmod{n}$ , and give  $s$  to  $A$ .  $A$  will return some root  $r'$  of  $s$ . Because  $s$  has four roots and  $r$  was chosen at random (and not given to  $A$ ), no matter how  $A$  works,  $\Pr[r = \pm r'] = 1/2$ . Hence, in half the cases,  $\text{gcd}(r + r', n)$  will give you a factor  $p$  or  $q$  of  $n$ .

<sup>1</sup>Conference version published in Crypto in 1982.

Thus, we just proved (by contradiction and reduction, as usual) that if factoring  $n$  is hard, so is computing square roots modulo  $n$ . Hence, the Blum-Blum-Shub generator is secure based on the following assumption:

**Assumption 1.** For any poly-time algorithm  $F$ , there exists a negligible function  $\eta$  such that, if you generate random  $k$ -bit primes  $p$  and  $q$  that are both 3 modulo 4, and let  $n = pq$ ,  $\Pr[F(n) = p] \leq \eta(k)$ .

## References

- [ACGS88] W. Alexi, B. Chor, O. Goldreich, and C. Schnorr. RSA and Rabin functions: Certain parts are as hard as the whole. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 17(2):194–209, April 1988.
- [AGS03] Adi Akavia, Shafi Goldwasser, and Muli Safra. Proving hardcore predicates using list decoding. In *44th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, Cambridge, Massachusetts, October 2003. IEEE.
- [BBS86] L. Blum, M. Blum, and M. Shub. A simple unpredictable pseudo-random number generator. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 15(2):364–383, May 1986.