

\mathbb{Z}_n^* ; hardness of squaring modulo a composite; and RSA

Leonid Reyzin

Notes for October 1, 2019

1 Multiplicative Inverses and \mathbb{Z}_n^*

We will denote by \mathbb{Z}_n^* the set of values in \mathbb{Z}_n that are relatively prime to n (that is, not 0 modulo p and not 0 modulo q). Note that the “coordinates” of \mathbb{Z}_n^* are in \mathbb{Z}_p^* and \mathbb{Z}_q^* , and that \mathbb{Z}_n^* has $(p-1)(q-1)$ elements. Every element of \mathbb{Z}_n^* has a multiplicative inverse modulo n (because it has a multiplicative inverse modulo p and modulo q , and thus modulo n by CRT) — in fact, \mathbb{Z}_n^* contains all values in \mathbb{Z}_n that have multiplicative inverses. \mathbb{Z}_n^* is thus a group with the multiplication operation.

The size of \mathbb{Z}_n^* is denoted by a special function ϕ called Euler’s totient function: $|\mathbb{Z}_n^*| = (p-1)(q-1) = \phi(n)$.

The size of \mathbb{Z}_n^* is unknown to anyone who doesn’t know the factorization of n . In fact, finding the factorization of n is computationally about as hard as finding $\phi(n)$ (because if you know p, q you can trivially compute $(p-1)(q-1)$, and if you know $n = pq$, and $\phi(n) = (p-1)(q-1)$, then you can find p and q by solving the quadratic equation $\phi(n) = (p-1)(n/p-1)$, i.e., $p\phi(n) = (p-1)(n-p)$).

Unlike the groups we had in this class until now, which had known size, \mathbb{Z}_n^* a *group of unknown size* (or, more commonly, *group of unknown order*, because people use the word “order” instead of “size” when they talk about groups). Of course, the size is known to those who know the factorization of n . But even those who do not know the size of \mathbb{Z}_n^* can still operate (i.e., multiply and divide) in \mathbb{Z}_n^* . So it is possible to operate in groups of unknown order.

2 Square Roots Modulo a Composite are as Hard as Factoring

We want to justify why we believe it’s hard to compute x from x^2 modulo n . Indeed, let $s = r^2 \bmod n$. Then s has four square roots, as discussed above $\text{crt}(r_1, r_2), \text{crt}(-r_1, -r_2), \text{crt}(r_1, -r_2), \text{crt}(-r_1, r_2)$. Take two of these that are not negatives of each other, e.g., $r = \text{crt}(r_1, r_2)$ and $r' = \text{crt}(r_1, -r_2)$. Add them to get $r + r' = \text{crt}(2r_1, 0)$. Thus, $r + r' \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$, so $q | (r + r')$. Note also that $r + r' \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, so $p \nmid (r + r')$. Hence, $\gcd(r + r', n) = q$. Thus, if you know two such roots, you can factor n , by simply computing the greatest common divisor (this can be done quickly with Euclid’s algorithm).

Now suppose we have an algorithm A that computes square roots modulo n . We will use it to factor n as follows: take a random $r \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$, compute $s = r^2 \bmod n$, and give s to A . A will return some root r' of s . Because s has four roots and r was chosen at random (and not given to A), no matter how A works, $\Pr[r = \pm r'] = 1/2$. Hence, in half the cases, $\gcd(r + r', n)$ will give you a factor p or q of n .

3 RSA

3.1 The RSA function

RSA function [RSA78] is similar to modular squaring, but replaces exponent 2 with another power $e \neq 2$. The reason for doing so is to make sure the function is a bijection—i.e., the inverse is well-defined.

Before considering raising to the power e modulo a composite number $n = pq$, let us consider first raising to the power e modulo a prime p . Suppose $d = e^{-1} \bmod (p-1)$ —i.e., $ed \equiv_{p-1} 1$. Such d exists whenever $\gcd(e, p-1) = 1$ (and can be computed efficiently by extended Euclid’s gcd algorithm). On HW2 we proved exponents work modulo $p-1$ when you operate modulo p , and therefore for any a , $a^{ed} \equiv_p a^1 \equiv_p a$.

Now doing this modulo n , suppose $ed \equiv 1 \pmod{p-1}$ and $ed \equiv 1 \pmod{q-1}$. Then if we let $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, a^{ed} is a both modulo p and modulo q , and hence is a modulo n , by Chinese Remainder Theorem.

So pick two primes $p \neq q$, let $n = pq$, and let e, d be such that $ed \equiv 1 \pmod{p-1}$ and $ed \equiv 1 \pmod{q-1}$. Note that because e has an inverse modulo $p-1$ and $q-1$, it must be relatively prime with $p-1$ and $q-1$; in particular, e must be odd.

Let (n, e) be the public key and (n, d) be the corresponding secret key. The “easy” (“forward”) direction of the RSA function is to take $x \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, and compute $y = x^e \% n$. The “hard” (“inverse”) direction of the RSA function is to take $y \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ and compute $x = y^d \% n$. RSA is an example of a “trapdoor permutation”: it is a permutation (bijection) of \mathbb{Z}_n such that the forward direction is easy given the public key, but the inverse direction is conjectured to be hard without the secret key.

By using an exponent e that is relatively prime with $p-1$ and $q-1$, we obtained a permutation (instead of, for example, exponent $e = 2$, which gives a 4-to-1 mapping). However, we gave up the equivalence to factoring: it is not known whether taking e -th roots modulo n is as hard as factoring for odd e . To be precise, we know that if taking e -th roots is hard, then factoring is hard (because if factoring were easy, then we could take e -th roots by taking them modulo p and q and combining them using CRT). The other direction is not known. We do know, however, that finding d from e is as hard as factoring [Ros17, Theorem 12.4]. So, if there is a way to find e -th roots without factoring, it must not find d .

References

- [Ros17] Mike Rosulek. *The Joy of Cryptography*. 2017. <http://web.engr.oregonstate.edu/~rosulekm/crypto/>.
- [RSA78] Ronald L. Rivest, Adi Shamir, and Leonard M. Adleman. A method for obtaining digital signatures and public-key cryptosystems. *Communications of the ACM*, 21(2):120–126, February 1978.